

A GRADED MINIMAL FREE RESOLUTION OF THE 2ND ORDER SYMBOLIC POWER OF THE IDEAL OF A STAR CONFIGURATION IN \mathbb{P}^n

YONG-SU SHIN

ABSTRACT. In [9], Geramita, Harbourne, and Migliore find a graded minimal free resolution of the 2nd order symbolic power of the ideal of a linear star configuration in \mathbb{P}^n of any codimension r . In [8], Geramita, Galetto, Shin, and Van Tuyl extend the result on a general star configuration in \mathbb{P}^n but for codimension 2. In this paper, we find a graded minimal free resolution of the 2nd order symbolic power of the ideal of a general star configuration in \mathbb{P}^n of any codimension r using a matroid configuration in [10]. This generalizes both the result on a *linear* star configuration in \mathbb{P}^n of codimension r in [9] and the result on a general star configuration in \mathbb{P}^n of *codimension 2* in [8].

1. Introduction

In 2013, Geramita, Harbourne, and Migliore introduce a *star configuration of codimension r in \mathbb{P}^n* , which is a certain union of linear spaces V_1, \dots, V_k each of codimension r (see [9]). We call this a *linear star configuration of codimension r in \mathbb{P}^n* in this article. The name is inspired by the fact that when $n = r = 2$ and $s = 5$, the placement of the five lines $\{L_1, \dots, L_5\}$ that define a (linear) star configuration resembles a star. On the other hand, our more general definition of a star configuration in \mathbb{P}^n with $n \geq 2$ follows [10, 14], where the geometric objects are called hypersurface configurations. In particular, the codimension 2 case was studied before the general case (see [1]). Star configurations have been shown to have many nice algebraic and geometric properties (see [10, 14]), but at the same time, can be used to exhibit extremal properties (see [2, 11]). Moreover, star configurations have arisen as objects of study in numerous research projects lately (see [3–7, 11, 13, 15, 16]).

Let \mathbb{k} be an infinite field of any characteristic and let I be a homogeneous ideal of $R = \mathbb{k}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$. For a positive integer m , let $I^{(m)}$ be the m -th

Received February 18, 2018; Revised July 15, 2018; Accepted September 28, 2018.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13A17, 14M05.

Key words and phrases. a graded minimal free resolution, symbolic powers, regular powers, star configurations.

This research was supported by a grant from Sungshin Women's University.

symbolic power of I . Then $I^m \subseteq I^{(m)}$ in general. Since a general star configuration \mathbb{X} of codimension r in \mathbb{P}^n is a certain union of distinct hypersurface configurations V_1, \dots, V_k with none containing any of the others, and each is a complete intersection, the m -th symbolic power of the ideal $I_{\mathbb{X}}$ of the star configuration is $I^{(m)} = I_{V_1}^m \cap \dots \cap I_{V_k}^m$.

In [14, Theorem 3.4] the authors find a graded minimal free resolution of a general star configuration in \mathbb{P}^n , and show that any star configuration in \mathbb{P}^n is an arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay (see [9] for a linear star configuration in \mathbb{P}^n). In [9, Theorem 3.2], the authors find a graded minimal free resolution of the 2nd order symbolic power of the ideal of a linear star configuration in \mathbb{P}^n of any codimension r . In [8, Theorem 5.3], the authors extend the result on a general star configuration in \mathbb{P}^n but for codimension 2.

Here, we find a graded minimal free resolution of the 2nd order symbolic power of the ideal of a general star configuration in \mathbb{P}^n of any codimension r using a matroid configuration in [10]. This generalizes both the result on a *linear* star configuration in \mathbb{P}^n of codimension r in [9, Theorem 3.2] and the result on a general star configuration in \mathbb{P}^n of *codimension* 2 in [8, Theorem 5.3].

Acknowledgement. We are grateful to the reviewer taking time to provide valuable comments and suggestions.

2. Preliminaries on star configurations in \mathbb{P}^n and a symbolic power of an ideal

We first introduce the notion of a star configuration in \mathbb{P}^n .

Definition 2.1. Let $R = \mathbb{k}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring over a field \mathbb{k} . For positive integers r and s with $1 \leq r \leq \min\{n, s\}$, suppose F_1, \dots, F_s are general forms in R of degrees d_1, \dots, d_s , respectively. We call the variety \mathbb{X} defined by the ideal

$$\bigcap_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq s} (F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_r})$$

a *star configuration* in \mathbb{P}^n of type (r, s) . We sometimes call it a *general star configuration* in \mathbb{P}^n of codimension r .

Notice that each n -forms F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_n} of s -general forms F_1, \dots, F_s in R defines $d_{i_1} \cdots d_{i_n}$ points in \mathbb{P}^n for each $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_n \leq s$. Thus the ideal

$$\bigcap_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_n \leq s} (F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_n})$$

defines a finite set \mathbb{X} of points in \mathbb{P}^n with

$$\deg(\mathbb{X}) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n \leq s} d_{i_1} d_{i_2} \cdots d_{i_n}.$$

Furthermore, if F_1, \dots, F_s are general linear (quadratic, cubic, quartic, quintic, etc) forms in R , we call \mathbb{X} a linear (quadratic, cubic, quartic, quintic, etc) star configuration in \mathbb{P}^n of type (r, s) , respectively.

Theorem 2.2 ([14, Theorem 2.3]). *Let F_1, \dots, F_s be general forms in $R = \mathbb{k}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with $s \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$. Then*

$$\bigcap_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_r \leq s} (F_{j_1}, \dots, F_{j_r}) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{r-1} \leq s} \left(\frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^s F_\ell}{F_{i_1} \cdots F_{i_{r-1}}} \right)$$

for $1 \leq r \leq \min\{n, s\}$.

Theorem 2.3 ([14, Theorem 3.4]). *Let \mathbb{X} be a star configuration in \mathbb{P}^n of type (r, s) defined by general forms F_1, \dots, F_s in $R = \mathbb{k}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of degrees d_1, d_2, \dots, d_s , where $2 \leq r \leq \min\{s, n\}$, and let $d = d_1 + \dots + d_s$. Then the minimal free resolution of $I_{\mathbb{X}}$ is*

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_r^{(r,s)} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{r-1}^{(r,s)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_1^{(r,s)} \rightarrow I_{\mathbb{X}} \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F}_r^{(r,s)} &= R^{\alpha_r^{(r,s)}}(-d), \\ \mathbb{F}_{r-1}^{(r,s)} &= \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 \leq s} R^{\alpha_{r-1}^{(r,s)}}(-(d - d_{i_1})), \\ &\vdots \\ \mathbb{F}_\ell^{(r,s)} &= \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{r-\ell} \leq s} R^{\alpha_\ell^{(r,s)}}(-(d - (d_{i_1} + \dots + d_{i_{r-\ell}}))), \\ &\vdots \\ \mathbb{F}_2^{(r,s)} &= \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{r-2} \leq s} R^{\alpha_2^{(r,s)}}(-(d - (d_{i_1} + \dots + d_{i_{r-2}}))), \quad \text{and} \\ \mathbb{F}_1^{(r,s)} &= \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{r-1} \leq s} R^{\alpha_1^{(r,s)}}(-(d - (d_{i_1} + \dots + d_{i_{r-1}}))), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\alpha_\ell^{(r,s)} = \binom{s-r+\ell-1}{\ell-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{rank } \mathbb{F}_\ell^{(r,s)} = \binom{s-r+\ell-1}{\ell-1} \cdot \binom{s}{r-\ell}$$

for $1 \leq \ell \leq r$. In particular, the last free module $\mathbb{F}_r^{(r,s)}$ has only one shift d , i.e., a star configuration \mathbb{X} in \mathbb{P}^n is level. Furthermore, any star configuration \mathbb{X} in \mathbb{P}^n is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay.

We now introduce the definition of symbolic power of an ideal with the notations in the introduction.

Definition 2.4. Let I be a homogeneous ideal of $R = \mathbb{k}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$. The m -th symbolic power of I , denoted $I^{(m)}$, is defined to be

$$I^{(m)} = \bigcap_{P \in \text{Ass}(I)} (I^m R_P \cap R),$$

where $\text{Ass}(I)$ denotes the set of associated primes of I and R_P is the ring R localized at the prime ideal P .

Note that $I^m \subseteq I^{(m)}$ in general, but the reverse containment may fail. However, it is well known that if I is a complete intersection ideal in R , then $I^m = I^{(m)}$ for $m \geq 1$ (see [17, Appendix 6, Lemma 5]).

3. A matroid configuration and the main theorem

In this section, we shall find the Betti numbers and the shifts of a graded minimal free resolution of the 2nd order symbolic power of the ideal of a star configuration (not necessarily linear star configuration) in \mathbb{P}^n of type (r, s) defined by s -general forms in $R = \mathbb{k}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with $1 \leq r \leq \min\{n, s\}$ and $n \geq 2$.

We first introduce some important results of the 2nd order symbolic power of the ideal of a linear star configuration in \mathbb{P}^n in [9, 10].

Remark 3.1 ([10, Remark 2.11]). Let \mathbb{X} be a linear star configuration in \mathbb{P}^n of type (r, s) with $2 \leq r \leq \min\{n, s\}$. By [10, Proposition 2.9], the Artinian reduction of the homogeneous coordinate ring of \mathbb{X} is $\mathbb{k}[t_1, \dots, t_r]/\mathfrak{m}^{s-r+1}$, where $\mathfrak{m} = (t_1, \dots, t_r)$. Since \mathfrak{m}^{s-r+1} is generated by the maximal minor of the $(s-r+1) \times s$ matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & \cdots & t_r & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t_1 & t_2 & \cdots & t_r & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & & & \vdots & & & & \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & \cdots & t_r \end{bmatrix},$$

the graded Betti numbers of the homogeneous coordinate ring of \mathbb{X} are those given by Eagon-Northcott resolution of the maximal minors of a generic matrix of size $(s-r+1) \times s$ [12]. Denoting by $\mathbb{E}_\bullet^{(r,s)}$ a graded minimal free resolution of $I_{\mathbb{X}}$, we get that

$$\text{rk} \mathbb{E}_\ell^{(r,s)} = \binom{s}{s-r+\ell} \cdot \binom{s-r+\ell-1}{\ell-1}.$$

Theorem 3.2 ([9, Theorem 3.2]). *With notation as above, let \mathbb{X} be a linear star configuration in \mathbb{P}^n of type (r, s) . Then a graded minimal free resolution of $R/I_{\mathbb{X}}^{(2)}$ is*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_r \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I_{\mathbb{X}}^{(2)} \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$\mathbb{F}_\ell = \mathbb{E}_\ell^{(s,r)}(-s-r+1) \oplus \mathbb{E}_{\ell-1}^{(s,r-1)}(-s-r+1) \oplus \mathbb{E}_\ell^{(s,r-1)}$$

for $\ell \geq 1$. More precisely,

$$\mathbb{F}_\ell = R^{m_\ell}(-(2s-2r-\ell-1)) \oplus R^{n_\ell}(-(s-r-\ell-1)),$$

where

$$m_\ell = \begin{cases} \binom{s}{s-r+1}, & \text{if } \ell = 1, \\ \binom{s}{s-r+\ell} \cdot \binom{s-r+\ell-1}{\ell-1} + \binom{s}{s-r+\ell} \cdot \binom{s-r+\ell-1}{\ell-2}, & \text{if } 2 \leq \ell \leq r, \end{cases}$$

and

$$n_\ell = \begin{cases} \binom{s}{s-r+\ell+1} \cdot \binom{s-r+\ell}{\ell-1}, & \text{if } 1 \leq \ell \leq r-1, \\ 0, & \text{if } \ell = r. \end{cases}$$

We recall a few of concepts for simplicial complexes. Define $[s] = \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$. A *matroid* Δ on a vertex set $[s]$ is a nonempty collection of subsets of $[s]$ that is closed under inclusion and satisfies the following property. If A, B are in Δ and $|A| > |B|$, then there is some $i \in A$ such that $B \cup \{i\} \in \Delta$. We will consider Δ as a simplicial complex.

Let $S = \mathbb{k}[t_1, \dots, t_s]$. For a subset $A \subseteq [s]$, we write t_A for the square free monomial $\prod_{i \in A} t_i$. The *Stanley-Reisner* ideal of Δ is $I_\Delta = \langle t_A \mid A \subseteq [s], A \notin \Delta \rangle$ and the corresponding *Stanley-Reisner* ring is $\mathbb{k}[\Delta] = S/I_\Delta$.

Note that if we look at the minimal free S -resolution of S/I_Δ , then the entries in all the maps are monomials in the y_i . Moreover, replacing each y_i by F_i and each S by R give the minimal free resolution of $R/\varphi_*(I_\Delta)$. So the formula $\mathbb{F} \otimes_S R$ implies the following two meanings.

- (a) The variable y_i in $S = \mathbb{k}[y_1, \dots, y_s]$ moves to a form F_i in $R = \mathbb{k}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$, and
- (b) an S free module \mathbb{F}_ℓ changes to an R free module $\mathbb{F}_\ell \otimes_S R$ for $\ell \geq 1$.

Theorem 3.3 ([10, Theorem 3.3]). *Let Δ be a matroid on $[s]$ of dimension $s-r-1$. Assume $f_1, \dots, f_s \in R = \mathbb{k}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ are homogeneous polynomials such that any subset of at most $r+1$ of them forms an R -regular sequence. Consider the ring homomorphism*

$$\varphi : S = \mathbb{k}[t_1, \dots, t_s] \rightarrow R, \quad t_i \mapsto f_i.$$

Let I be an ideal of S . We write $\varphi_(I)$ to denote the ideal in R generated by $\varphi(I)$. If $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{k}[\Delta]}$ is a graded minimal free resolution of $\mathbb{k}[\Delta]$ over S , then $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{k}[\Delta]} \otimes_S R$ is a graded minimal free resolution of $R/\varphi_*(I_\Delta)$ over R .*

The ideal $\varphi_*(I_\Delta)$ is said to be obtained by *specialization* from the matroid ideal I_Δ . The subscheme of \mathbb{P}^n defined by $\varphi_*(I_\Delta)$ is called a *matroid configuration* [10].

Notice that a linear star configuration in \mathbb{P}^n is one of the matroid configuration, we shall use [10, Theorem 3.3] for the proof of this theorem. So we are now ready to find the Betti numbers and the shifts of a graded minimal free resolution of the 2nd order symbolic power of the ideal of a star configuration in \mathbb{P}^n .

Theorem 3.4. *Let \mathbb{X} be a star configuration in \mathbb{P}^n of type (r, s) defined by s -general forms F_1, \dots, F_s in $R = \mathbb{k}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of degrees d_1, \dots, d_s with $2 \leq r \leq \min\{n, s\}$, and let $d = d_1 + \dots + d_s$. Then a graded minimal free resolution of $R/I_{\mathbb{X}}^{(2)}$ is*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_r \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I_{\mathbb{X}}^{(2)} \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}_1 &= \left[\bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{r-1} \leq s} R(-2(d - (d_{i_1} + \dots + d_{i_{r-1}}))) \right] \\ &\quad \oplus \left[\bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{r-2} \leq s} R(-(d - (d_{i_1} + \dots + d_{i_{r-2}}))) \right], \\ \mathbb{G}_\ell &= \left[\bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{r-\ell} \leq s} \left[\bigoplus_{k_1 < \dots < k_{\ell-1}} R(-(2(d - (d_{i_1} + \dots + d_{i_{r-\ell}})) - (d_{k_1} + \dots + d_{k_{\ell-1}}))) \right] \right] \\ &\quad \oplus \left[\bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{(r-1)-\ell} \leq s} R^{\binom{s-r+\ell}{\ell-1}}(-(d - (d_{i_1} + \dots + d_{i_{(r-1)-\ell}}))) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\{k_1, \dots, k_{\ell-1}\}$ runs through $\binom{s-(r-\ell)}{\ell-1}$ -times among $\{j_1, \dots, j_{s-(r-\ell)}\} := \{1, 2, \dots, s\} - \{i_1, \dots, i_{r-\ell}\}$, and

$$\mathbb{G}_r = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{r-1} \leq s} R(-(2d - (d_{i_1} + \dots + d_{i_{r-1}}))).$$

Proof. Let $S = \mathbb{k}[t_1, \dots, t_s]$. Consider the ideal of S

$$I_{(r,s)} = \bigcap_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r \leq s} \langle t_{i_1}, t_{i_2}, \dots, t_{i_r} \rangle,$$

generated by all products of $s - r + 1$ distinct variables in $\{t_1, \dots, t_s\}$ (see Theorem 2.2). It is the Stanley-Reisner ideal of a uniform matroid on $[s]$. Recall the map

$$(3.1) \quad \varphi : S = \mathbb{k}[y_1, \dots, y_s] \rightarrow R, \quad y_i \mapsto F_i.$$

Then

$$I_{\mathbb{X}}^{(2)} = \varphi_*(I_{(r,s)}).$$

Notice that

$$(3.2) \quad I_{\mathbb{X}} = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{r-1} \leq s} \left(\frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^s F_\ell}{F_{i_1} \cdots F_{i_{r-1}}} \right)$$

and the ℓ -th free module of a graded minimal free resolution of the ideal $I_{(r,s)}^{(2)}$ ([10, Theorem 3.2]) is

$$\mathbb{F}_\ell = R^{m_\ell}(-2s - 2r + \ell + 1) \oplus R^{n_\ell}(-(s - r + \ell + 1)),$$

where

$$m_\ell = \begin{cases} \binom{s}{s-r+1}, & \text{if } \ell = 1, \\ \binom{s}{s-r+\ell} \cdot \binom{s-r+\ell-1}{\ell-1} + \binom{s}{s-r+\ell} \cdot \binom{s-r+\ell-1}{\ell-2}, & \text{if } 2 \leq \ell \leq r, \end{cases}$$

and

$$n_\ell = \begin{cases} \binom{s}{s-r+\ell+1} \cdot \binom{s-r+\ell}{\ell-1}, & \text{if } 1 \leq \ell \leq r-1, \\ 0, & \text{if } \ell = r. \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 3.3, the ℓ -th free module of a graded minimal free resolution of the ideal $R/I_X^{(2)}$ is

$$\mathbb{F}_\ell \otimes_S R.$$

Recall that the maps appeared in the minimal free resolution of S/I_Δ are obtained from Eagon-Northcott resolution and the mapping cone construction from *Basic Double G-Linkage* ([9, Proposition 2.6]). As we mentioned before, the entries in all the maps in the minimal free resolution of S/I_Δ are monomials in the y_i , and replacing each y_i by F_i and each S by R gives the minimal free resolution of $R/\varphi_*(I_\Delta)$. Hence one can conclude that

$$s \xrightarrow{\varphi_*} d, \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_*} d_i.$$

- Let $\ell = 1$. By equation (3.2) and Remark 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_1^{r,s}(-s-r+1) \otimes_S R &= [S^{\binom{s}{r-1}}(-s-(r-1))](-s-(r-1)) \otimes_S R \\ &= S^{\binom{s}{r-1}}(-2(s-(r-1))) \otimes_S R \\ &= \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{r-1} \leq s} S(-2(s-(r-1))) \otimes_S R \\ &= \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{r-1} \leq s} R(-2(d - (d_{i_1} + \dots + d_{i_{r-1}}))), \text{ and} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_1^{r-1,s} \otimes_S R &= [S^{\binom{s}{r-2}}(-s-(r-2))] \otimes_S R \\ &= S^{\binom{s}{r-2}}(-s-(r-2)) \otimes_S R \\ &= \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{r-2} \leq s} S(-2(s-(r-2))) \otimes_S R \\ &= \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{r-2} \leq s} R(-(d - (d_1 + \dots + d_{i_{r-2}}))). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{G}_1 &= \mathbb{F}_1 \otimes_S R \\
&= \mathbb{E}_1^{r,s}(-s - (r-1)) \otimes_S R \oplus \mathbb{E}_1^{r-1,s} \otimes_S R \\
&= \left[\bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{r-1} \leq s} R(-2(d - (d_{i_1} + \dots + d_{i_{r-1}}))) \right] \\
&\quad \oplus \left[\bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{r-2} \leq s} R(-(d - (d_{i_1} + \dots + d_{i_{r-2}}))) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

• Let $1 < \ell < r$. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{rk} \mathbb{E}_\ell^{(r,s)} &= \binom{s}{s - (r - \ell)} \cdot \binom{s - r + \ell - 1}{\ell - 1}, \\
\mathrm{rk} \mathbb{E}_{\ell-1}^{(r-1,s)} &= \binom{s}{s - (r - \ell)} \cdot \binom{s - r + \ell - 1}{\ell - 2}, \quad \text{and thus} \\
\mathrm{rk} \mathbb{E}_\ell^{(r,s)} + \mathrm{rk} \mathbb{E}_{\ell-1}^{(r-1,s)} &= \binom{s}{s - (r - \ell)} \cdot \binom{s - (r - \ell)}{\ell - 1}.
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\mathbb{E}_\ell^{(r,s)} + \mathbb{E}_{\ell-1}^{(r-1,s)} = S^{\binom{s}{s - (r - \ell)} \cdot \binom{s - (r - \ell)}{\ell - 1}}(s - (r - \ell)).$$

Now consider the case $\{d_{i_1}, \dots, d_{i_{r-\ell}}\}$ of degrees among $\{d_1, \dots, d_s\}$. Then the complement case of the case $\{d_{i_1}, \dots, d_{i_{r-\ell}}\}$ among $\{d_1, \dots, d_s\}$ is $\{d_1, \dots, d_s\} - \{d_{i_1}, \dots, d_{i_{r-\ell}}\}$. So there is a one to one correspondence between two cases as

$$\{d_{i_1}, \dots, d_{i_{r-\ell}}\} \leftrightarrow \{d_1, \dots, d_s\} - \{d_{i_1}, \dots, d_{i_{r-\ell}}\} := \{d_{j_1}, \dots, d_{j_{s-(r-\ell)}}\}.$$

Recall the map

$$\varphi : S = \mathbb{k}[y_1, \dots, y_s] \rightarrow R, \quad y_i \mapsto F_i, \quad \text{for every } i = 1, \dots, s.$$

Hence the shift $(s - (r - \ell))$ in the ℓ -th free module \mathbb{F}_ℓ of a graded minimal free resolution of $S/I_{(r,s)}$ changes to the shift $(d - (d_{i_1} + \dots + d_{i_{r-\ell}})) = (d_{j_1} + \dots + d_{d_{s-(r-\ell)}})$ in the ℓ -th free module of a graded minimal free resolution of $R/I_X^{(2)}$. In other words, there is a one to one correspondence between two shifts as

$$\begin{aligned}
(s - (r - \ell)) &\xrightarrow{\varphi} (d - (d_{i_1} + \dots + d_{i_{r-\ell}})) \\
&= (d_{j_1} + \dots + d_{d_{j_{s-(r-\ell)}}}), \quad \text{and so} \\
S^{\binom{s}{s - (r - \ell)}}(-s - (r - \ell)) &\xrightarrow{\varphi} S^{\binom{s}{s - (r - \ell)}}(-s - (r - \ell)) \otimes_S R \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{r-\ell} \leq s} R(-(d - (d_{i_1} + \dots + d_{i_{r-\ell}}))) \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{s-(r-\ell)} \leq s} R(-(d_{j_1} + \dots + d_{j_{s-(r-\ell)}})).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(s - r + 1) = (s - (r - \ell)) - (\ell - 1),$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} (s - (r - \ell)) + (s - r + 1) &= (s - (r - \ell)) + ((s - (r - \ell)) - (\ell - 1)) \\ &= 2(s - (r - \ell)) - (\ell - 1). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that each $\binom{s-(r-\ell)}{\ell-1}$ -times shift $(s - (r - \ell))$ of the ℓ -th free module \mathbb{F}_ℓ of a graded minimal free resolution of $S/I_{(r,s)}^{(2)}$ changes to the shifts of the ℓ -th free module \mathbb{G}_ℓ of a graded minimal free resolution of $R/I_{\mathbb{X}}^{(2)}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} (s - (r - \ell)) + (s - r + 1) &= (s - (r - \ell)) + ((s - (r - \ell)) - (\ell - 1)) \\ &= 2(s - (r - \ell)) - (\ell - 1) \\ &\stackrel{\varphi_{\mathbb{X}}}{=} 2(d - (d_{i_1} + \cdots + d_{i_{r-\ell}})) - (d_{k_1} + \cdots + d_{k_{\ell-1}}) \\ &= 2(d_{j_1} + \cdots + d_{j_{s-(r-\ell)}}) - (d_{k_1} + \cdots + d_{k_{\ell-1}}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\{k_1, \dots, k_{\ell-1}\}$ runs through $\binom{s-(r-\ell)}{\ell-1}$ -times among

$$\{j_1, \dots, j_{s-(r-\ell)}\} := \{1, 2, \dots, s\} - \{i_1, \dots, i_{r-\ell}\}.$$

So, with notations as above

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3) \quad & \left[S^{\binom{s}{s-(r-\ell)}} \binom{s-(r-\ell)}{\ell-1} (s - (r - \ell)) \right] (-s - r + 1) \\ &= S^{\binom{s}{s-(r-\ell)}} \binom{s-(r-\ell)}{\ell-1} (-2(s - (r - \ell)) - (\ell - 1)) \\ &\stackrel{\varphi_{\mathbb{X}}}{=} \bigoplus_{1 < i_1 < \cdots < i_{r-\ell} \leq s} \left[\bigoplus_{k_1 < \cdots < k_{\ell-1}} R(-2(d - (d_{i_1} + \cdots + d_{i_{r-\ell}})) - (d_{k_1} + \cdots + d_{k_{\ell-1}})) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mathbb{E}_\ell^{(r,s)} + \mathbb{E}_{\ell-1}^{(r-1,s)}] (-s - r + 1) \otimes_S R \\ &= \left[S^{\binom{s}{s-(r-\ell)}} \binom{s-(r-\ell)}{\ell-1} (-s - (r - \ell)) \right] (-s - r + 1) \otimes_S R \\ &= \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{r-\ell} \leq s} \left[\bigoplus_{k_1 < \cdots < k_{\ell-1}} R(-2(d - (d_{i_1} + \cdots + d_{i_{r-\ell}})) - (d_{k_1} + \cdots + d_{k_{\ell-1}})) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_\ell^{(r-1,s)} \otimes_S R \\ &= \left[S^{\binom{s}{(r-1)-\ell}} \binom{s-(r-1)+\ell-1}{\ell-1} (-s - ((r-1) - \ell)) \right] \otimes_S R \\ &= \left[\bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{(r-1)-\ell} \leq s} S^{\binom{s-(r-1)+\ell-1}{\ell-1}} (-s - ((r-1) - \ell)) \right] \otimes_S R \\ &= \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{(r-1)-\ell} \leq s} R^{\binom{s-r+\ell}{\ell-1}} (-d - (d_1 + \cdots + d_{(r-1)-\ell})). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{G}_\ell &= \mathbb{F}_\ell \otimes_S R \\
&= \left[\left[\mathbb{E}_\ell^{(r,s)}(-s - (r-1)) \otimes_S R \right] \oplus \left[\mathbb{E}_{\ell-1}^{(r-1,s)}(-s - (r-1)) \otimes_S R \right] \right] \\
&\quad \oplus \left[\mathbb{E}_\ell^{(r-1,s)} \otimes_S R \right] \\
&= \left[\bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{r-\ell} \leq s} \left[\bigoplus_{k_1 < \dots < k_{\ell-1}} R(-2(d - (d_{i_1} + \dots + d_{r-\ell})) - (d_{k_1} + \dots + d_{k_{\ell-1}})) \right] \right] \\
&\quad \oplus \left[\bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{(r-1)-\ell} \leq s} R^{\binom{s-r+\ell}{\ell-1}}(-d - (d_1 + \dots + d_{(r-1)-\ell})) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where $\{k_1, \dots, k_{\ell-1}\}$ runs through $\binom{s-(r-\ell)}{\ell-1}$ -times among

$$\{j_1, \dots, j_{s-(r-\ell)}\} := \{1, 2, \dots, s\} - \{i_1, \dots, i_{r-\ell}\}.$$

• Let $\ell = r$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_r^{(r,s)}(-s - (r-1)) \otimes_S R \\
&= [S^{\binom{s-1}{r-1}}(-s)](-s - (r-1)) \otimes_S R \\
&= [S^{\binom{s-1}{r-1}}(-2s - (r-1))] \otimes_S R, \quad \text{and} \\
&\mathbb{E}_{r-1}^{(r-1,s)}(-s - (r-1)) \otimes_S R \\
&= [S^{\binom{s-1}{r-2}}(-s)](-s - (r-1)) \otimes_S R \\
&= [S^{\binom{s-1}{r-2}}(-2s - (r-1))] \otimes_S R.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{G}_r &= \mathbb{F}_r \otimes_S R \\
&= \mathbb{E}_r^{(r,s)}(-s - (r-1)) \otimes_S R \oplus \mathbb{E}_{r-1}^{(r-1,s)}(-s - (r-1)) \otimes_S R \\
&= [S^{\binom{s-1}{r-1}}(-2s - (r-1))] \otimes_S R \oplus [S^{\binom{s-1}{r-2}}(-2s - (r-1))] \otimes_S R \\
&= S^{\binom{s}{r-1}}(-2s - (r-1)) \otimes_S R \\
&= \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{r-1} \leq s} S(-2s - (r-1)) \otimes_S R \\
&= \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{r-1} \leq s} R(-2d - (d_{i_1} + \dots + d_{i_{r-1}})),
\end{aligned}$$

as we wished.

This completes the proof. \square

Example 3.5. Consider a star configuration \mathbb{X} in \mathbb{P}^n of type $(3, 4)$ defined by general forms in $R = \mathbb{k}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of degrees 2, 3, 5, and 8 with $n \geq 3$. We now calculate the graded Betti numbers and the shifts of a graded minimal free resolution of $R/I_{\mathbb{X}}^{(2)}$. Let

$$d_1 = 2, d_2 = 3, d_3 = 5, d_4 = 8, \quad \text{and} \quad d = d_1 + d_2 + d_3 + d_4 = 18,$$

and let

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I_{\mathbb{X}}^{(2)} \rightarrow 0$$

be a graded minimal free resolution of $R/I_{\mathbb{X}}^{(2)}$.

• First we calculate the graded Betti numbers and the shifts of the first free module \mathbb{G}_1 . Recall that, by Theorem 3.4,

$$\mathbb{G}_1 = \left[\bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq 4} R(-2(d - (d_{i_1} + d_{i_2}))) \right] \oplus \left[\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq 4} R(-(d - d_i)) \right],$$

and so we get the shifts of \mathbb{G}_1 as follows.

$2(d - (d_{i_1} + d_{i_2}))$	
$2(d - (d_3 + d_4))$	10
$2(d - (d_2 + d_4))$	14
$2(d - (d_2 + d_3))$	20
$2(d - (d_1 + d_4))$	16
$2(d - (d_1 + d_3))$	22
$2(d - (d_1 + d_2))$	26

and

$(d - d_i)$	
$d - d_1$	16
$d - d_2$	15
$d - d_3$	13
$d - d_4$	10

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}_1 = & R(-10)^2 \oplus R(-13) \oplus R(-14) \oplus R(-15) \oplus R^2(-16) \\ & \oplus R(-20) \oplus R(-22) \oplus R(-26). \end{aligned}$$

• Let $\ell = 2$. By Theorem 3.4,

$$\mathbb{G}_2 = \left[\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \left[\bigoplus_{j \neq i} R(-2(d - d_i) - d_j) \right] \right] \oplus R^3(-d).$$

So we have the following shifts in \mathbb{G}_2 as

$2(d - d_i)$		$j \neq i$	$2(d - d_i) - d_j$
$2(d - d_4)$	20	d_1, d_2, d_3	18, 17, 15
$2(d - d_3)$	26	d_1, d_2, d_4	24, 23, 18
$2(d - d_2)$	30	d_1, d_3, d_4	28, 25, 22
$2(d - d_1)$	32	d_2, d_3, d_4	29, 27, 24

and

d, d, d	18, 18, 18
-----------	------------

Hence we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}_2 = & R(-15) \oplus R(-17) \oplus R(-18)^5 \oplus R(-22) \oplus R(-23) \oplus R(-24)^2 \\ & \oplus R(-25) \oplus R(-27) \oplus R(-28) \oplus R(-29). \end{aligned}$$

- Let $\ell = r = 3$. By Theorem 3.4,

$$\mathbb{G}_3 = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq 4} R(-(2d - (d_{i_1} + d_{i_2}))).$$

So we have the following shifts in \mathbb{G}_3 as:

$2d - (d_{i_1} + d_{i_2})$	
$2d - (d_1 + d_2)$	31
$2d - (d_1 + d_3)$	29
$2d - (d_1 + d_4)$	26
$2d - (d_2 + d_3)$	28
$2d - (d_2 + d_4)$	25
$2d - (d_3 + d_4)$	23

Hence we have

$$\mathbb{G}_3 = R(-23) \oplus R(-25) \oplus R(-26) \oplus R(-28) \oplus R(-29) \oplus R(-31).$$

Therefore a graded minimal free resolution of $R/I_{\mathbb{X}}^{(2)}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow R(-23) \oplus R(-25) \oplus R(-26) \oplus R(-28) \oplus R(-29) \oplus R(-31) \\ &\rightarrow [R(-15) \oplus R(-17) \oplus R(-18)^5 \oplus R(-22) \oplus R(-23) \oplus R(-24)^2 \\ &\quad \oplus R(-25) \oplus R(-27) \oplus R(-28) \oplus R(-29)] \\ &\rightarrow R(-10)^2 \oplus R(-13) \oplus R(-14) \oplus R(-15) \oplus R^2(-16) \oplus R(-20) \\ &\quad \oplus R(-22) \oplus R(-26) \\ &\rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I_{\mathbb{X}}^{(2)} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

As a special case of Theorem 3.4 with codimension 2, i.e., $r = 2$, the following corollary is immediate.

Corollary 3.6 ([8, Theorem 5.3]). *Let \mathbb{X} be a star configuration in \mathbb{P}^n of type $(2, s)$ defined by s -general forms in $R = \mathbb{k}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of degrees d_1, \dots, d_s with $s \geq 2$, and let $d = d_1 + \dots + d_s$. Then a graded minimal free resolution of $R/I_{\mathbb{X}}^{(2)}$ is*

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq s} R(-(2d - d_i)) \rightarrow R(-d) \oplus \left[\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq s} R(-(2(d - d_i))) \right] \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I_{\mathbb{X}}^{(2)} \rightarrow 0.$$

References

- [1] J. Ahn and Y. S. Shin, *The minimal free resolution of a star-configuration in \mathbb{P}^n and the weak Lefschetz property*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **49** (2012), no. 2, 405–417.
- [2] C. Bocci and B. Harbourne, *Comparing powers and symbolic powers of ideals*, J. Algebraic Geom. **19** (2010), no. 3, 399–417.
- [3] ———, *The resurgence of ideals of points and the containment problem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), no. 4, 1175–1190.
- [4] E. Carlini, L. Chiantini, and A. V. Geramita, *Complete intersections on general hypersurfaces*, Michigan Math. J. **57** (2008), 121–136.

- [5] E. Carlini, E. Guardo, and A. Van Tuyl, *Star configurations on generic hypersurfaces*, J. Algebra **407** (2014), 1–20.
- [6] E. Carlini and A. Van Tuyl, *Star configuration points and generic plane curves*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (2011), no. 12, 4181–4192.
- [7] S. Cooper, B. Harbourne, and Z. Teitler, *Combinatorial bounds on Hilbert functions of fat points in projective space*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **215** (2011), no. 9, 2165–2179.
- [8] F. Galetto, Anthony V. Geramita, Y. S. Shin, and A. Van Tuyl, *The Symbolic Defect of an Ideal*, In preparation.
- [9] A. V. Geramita, B. Harbourne, and J. Migliore, *Star configurations in \mathbb{P}^n* , J. Algebra **376** (2013), 279–299.
- [10] A. V. Geramita, B. Harbourne, J. C. Migliore, and U. Nagel, *Matroid configurations and symbolic powers of their ideals*, In preparation.
- [11] A. V. Geramita, J. Migliore, and L. Sabourin, *On the first infinitesimal neighborhood of a linear configuration of points in \mathbb{P}^2* , J. Algebra **298** (2006), no. 2, 563–611.
- [12] M. Hochster and J. A. Eagon, *Cohen-Macaulay rings, invariant theory, and the generic perfection of determinantal loci*, Amer. J. Math. **93** (1971), 1020–1058.
- [13] Y. R. Kim and Y. S. Shin, *Star-configurations in \mathbb{P}^n and the weak-Lefschetz property*, Comm. Algebra **44** (2016), no. 9, 3853–3873.
- [14] J. P. Park and Y. S. Shin, *The minimal free graded resolution of a star-configuration in \mathbb{P}^n* , J. Pure Appl. Algebra **219** (2015), no. 6, 2124–2133.
- [15] Y. S. Shin, *Secants to the variety of completely reducible forms and the Hilbert function of the union of star-configurations*, J. Algebra Appl. **11** (2012), no. 6, 1250109, 27 pp.
- [16] ———, *Star-configurations in \mathbb{P}^2 having generic Hilbert function and the weak Lefschetz property*, Comm. Algebra **40** (2012), no. 6, 2226–2242.
- [17] O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative Algebra. Vol. II*, reprint of the 1960 edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975.

YONG-SU SHIN
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SUNGSHIN WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY
SEOUL KOREA, 136-742
Email address: ysshin@sungshin.ac.kr