

## HARMONIC MAPS AND BIHARMONIC MAPS ON PRINCIPAL BUNDLES AND WARPED PRODUCTS

HAJIME URAKAWA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study harmonic maps and biharmonic maps on the principal  $G$ -bundle in Kobayashi and Nomizu [22] and also the warped product  $P = M \times_f F$  for a  $C^\infty(M)$  function  $f$  on  $M$  studied by Bishop and O'Neill [4], and Ejiri [11].

### 1. Introduction

Variational problems play central roles in geometry; Harmonic map is one of important variational problems which is a critical point of the energy functional  $E(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |d\varphi|^2 v_g$  for smooth maps  $\varphi$  of  $(M, g)$  into  $(N, h)$  (see [7, 16, 17, 20, 32, 44, 45, 47]). The Euler-Lagrange equations are given by the vanishing of the tension field  $\tau(\varphi)$ . In 1983, J. Eells and L. Lemaire [10] extended the notion of harmonic map to biharmonic map, which are, by definition, critical points of the bienergy functional

$$(1.1) \quad E_2(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\tau(\varphi)|^2 v_g.$$

After G. Y. Jiang [19] studied the first and second variation formulas of  $E_2$ , extensive studies in this area have been done (for instance, see [6, 14, 15, 18, 25, 31, 40, 41], etc.). Notice that harmonic maps are always biharmonic by definition. B. Y. Chen raised ([8]) so called B. Y. Chen's conjecture and later, R. Caddeo, S. Montaldo, P. Piu and C. Oniciuc raised ([2, 3, 6]) the generalized B. Y. Chen's conjecture.

**B. Y. Chen's conjecture.** *Every biharmonic submanifold of the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  must be harmonic (minimal).*

---

Received April 17, 2017; Revised January 5, 2018; Accepted January 30, 2018.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 58E20; Secondary 53C43.

*Key words and phrases.* principal  $G$  bundle, Ricci curvature, harmonic map, biharmonic map, warped product.

Supported by the Grant-in-Aid for the Scientific Research, (C) No. 25400154, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

**The generalized B. Y. Chen's conjecture.** *Every biharmonic submanifold of a Riemannian manifold of non-positive curvature must be harmonic (minimal).*

For the generalized Chen's conjecture, Ou and Tang gave ([39, 40]) a counter example in a Riemannian manifold of negative curvature. For the Chen's conjecture, affirmative answers were known for the case of surfaces in the three dimensional Euclidean space ([8]), and the case of hypersurfaces of the four dimensional Euclidean space ([9, 13]). K. Akutagawa and S. Maeta gave ([1]) showed a supporting evidence to the Chen's conjecture: *Any complete regular biharmonic submanifold of the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is harmonic (minimal).* The affirmative answers to the generalized B. Y. Chen's conjecture were shown ([34–36]) under the  $L^2$ -condition and completeness of  $(M, g)$ .

In this paper, we first treat with a principal  $G$ -bundle over a Riemannian manifold, and show the following two theorems:

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\pi : (P, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$  be a principal  $G$ -bundle over a Riemannian manifold  $(M, h)$  with non-positive Ricci curvature. Assume  $P$  is compact so that  $M$  is also compact. If the projection  $\pi$  is biharmonic, then it is harmonic.*

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\pi : (P, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$  be a principal  $G$ -bundle over a Riemannian manifold with non-positive Ricci curvature. Assume that  $(P, g)$  is a non-compact complete Riemannian manifold, and the projection  $\pi$  has both finite energy  $E(\pi) < \infty$  and finite bienergy  $E_2(\pi) < \infty$ . If  $\pi$  is biharmonic, then it is harmonic.*

We give two comments on the above theorems: For the generalized B. Y. Chen's conjecture, non-positivity of the sectional curvature of the ambient space of biharmonic submanifolds is necessary. However, it should be emphasized that for the principal  $G$ -bundles, we need not the assumption of non-positivity of the sectional curvature. We only assume *non-positivity of the Ricci curvature* of the domain manifolds in the proofs of Theorems 3.2 and 4.1. Second, finiteness of the energy and bienergy is necessary in Theorem 4.1. Otherwise, one have the counter examples due to Loubeau and Ou (cf. Sect. Four, Examples 1 and 2 [26]).

Next, we consider the warped products. For two Riemannian manifolds  $(M, h)$ ,  $(F, k)$  and a  $C^\infty$  function  $f$  on  $M$ ,  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ , the warping function on  $M$ , let us consider the warped product  $(P, g)$  where  $\pi : P = M \times F \ni (x, y) \mapsto x \in M$  and  $g = \pi^*h + f^2 k$ . Let us consider the following two problems:

**Problem 1.** When  $\pi : (P, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$  is harmonic?

**Problem 2.** In the case  $(M, h) = (\mathbb{R}, dt^2)$ , a line, can one choose  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\pi : (P, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$  is biharmonic but not harmonic?

In this paper, we answer these two problems as follows.

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $\pi : (P, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$  be the warped product with a warping function  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ . Then, the tension field  $\tau(\pi)$  is given by*

$$(1.2) \quad \tau(\pi) = \ell \frac{\text{grad } f}{f} = \ell \frac{\nabla f}{f},$$

where  $\ell = \dim F$ . Therefore,  $\pi$  is harmonic if and only if  $f$  is constant.

**Theorem 6.2.** *For the warped product  $\pi : (P, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$ , the bitension field  $\tau_2(\pi)$  is given by*

$$(1.3) \quad \tau_2(\pi) = \bar{\Delta}(\tau(\pi)) - \rho^h(\tau(\pi)) - \ell \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\nabla f}{f}} \tau(\pi),$$

where  $\bar{\Delta}$  is the rough Laplacian and  $\bar{\nabla}$  is the induced connection from the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla^h$  of  $(M, h)$ . Therefore,  $\pi$  is biharmonic if and only if

$$(1.4) \quad \bar{\Delta}(\tau(\pi)) - \rho^h(\tau(\pi)) - \ell \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\nabla f}{f}} \tau(\pi) = 0.$$

Here,  $\rho^h$  is the Ricci transform  $\rho^h(u) := \sum_{i=1}^m R^h(u, e'_i)e'_i$ ,  $u \in T_x M$  for an locally defined orthonormal field  $\{e'_i\}_{i=1}^m$  on  $(M, h)$ .

**Theorem 7.1.** (1) *In the case  $(M, h) = (\mathbb{R}, dt^2)$ , a line, the warped product  $\pi : (P, g) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, dt^2)$  is biharmonic if and only if  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  satisfies the following ordinary equation:*

$$(1.5) \quad f''' f^2 + (\ell - 3) f'' f' f + (-\ell + 2) f'^3 = 0.$$

(2) *All the solutions  $f$  of (1.5) are given by*

$$(1.6) \quad f(t) = c \exp \left( \int_{t_0}^t a \tanh \left[ \frac{\ell}{2} ar + b \right] dr \right),$$

where  $a, b, c > 0$  are arbitrary constants.

(3) *In the case  $(M, h) = (\mathbb{R}, dt^2)$ , a line, let  $f(t)$  be  $C^\infty$  function defined by (1.6) with  $a \neq 0$  and  $c > 0$ . Then, the warped product  $\pi : (P, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$  is biharmonic but not harmonic.*

**Acknowledgement.** We would like to express our gratitude to the referee who pointed to improve Theorem 3.2 in this version.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Harmonic maps and biharmonic maps

We first prepare the materials for the first and second variational formulas for the bienergy functional and biharmonic maps. Let us recall the definition of a harmonic map  $\varphi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$ , of a compact Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  into another Riemannian manifold  $(N, h)$ , which is an extremal of the energy functional defined by

$$E(\varphi) = \int_M e(\varphi) v_g,$$

where  $e(\varphi) := \frac{1}{2}|d\varphi|^2$  is called the energy density of  $\varphi$ . That is, for any variation  $\{\varphi_t\}$  of  $\varphi$  with  $\varphi_0 = \varphi$ ,

$$(2.1) \quad \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} E(\varphi_t) = - \int_M h(\tau(\varphi), V) v_g = 0,$$

where  $V \in \Gamma(\varphi^{-1}TN)$  is a variation vector field along  $\varphi$  which is given by  $V(x) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \varphi_t(x) \in T_{\varphi(x)}N$ , ( $x \in M$ ), and the *tension field* is given by  $\tau(\varphi) = \sum_{i=1}^m B(\varphi)(e_i, e_i) \in \Gamma(\varphi^{-1}TN)$ , where  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$  is a locally defined orthonormal frame field on  $(M, g)$ , and  $B(\varphi)$  is the second fundamental form of  $\varphi$  defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} B(\varphi)(X, Y) &= (\tilde{\nabla} d\varphi)(X, Y) \\ &= (\tilde{\nabla}_X d\varphi)(Y) \\ &= \bar{\nabla}_X(d\varphi(Y)) - d\varphi(\nabla_X Y) \end{aligned}$$

for all vector fields  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ . Here,  $\nabla$ , and  $\nabla^h$ , are Levi-Civita connections on  $TM$ ,  $TN$  of  $(M, g)$ ,  $(N, h)$ , respectively, and  $\bar{\nabla}$ , and  $\tilde{\nabla}$  are the induced ones on  $\varphi^{-1}TN$ , and  $T^*M \otimes \varphi^{-1}TN$ , respectively. By (2.1),  $\varphi$  is *harmonic* if and only if  $\tau(\varphi) = 0$ .

The second variation formula is given as follows. Assume that  $\varphi$  is harmonic. Then,

$$(2.3) \quad \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \right|_{t=0} E(\varphi_t) = \int_M h(J(V), V) v_g,$$

where  $J$  is an elliptic differential operator, called the *Jacobi operator* acting on  $\Gamma(\varphi^{-1}TN)$  given by

$$(2.4) \quad J(V) = \bar{\Delta}V - \mathcal{R}(V),$$

where  $\bar{\Delta}V = \bar{\nabla}^* \bar{\nabla}V = -\sum_{i=1}^m \{\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} V - \bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_i} e_i} V\}$  is the *rough Laplacian* and  $\mathcal{R}$  is a linear operator on  $\Gamma(\varphi^{-1}TN)$  given by

$$\mathcal{R}(V) = \sum_{i=1}^m R^N(V, d\varphi(e_i)) d\varphi(e_i),$$

and  $R^N$  is the curvature tensor of  $(N, h)$  given by  $R^h(U, V) = \nabla^h_U \nabla^h_V - \nabla^h_V \nabla^h_U - \nabla^h_{[U, V]}$  for  $U, V \in \mathfrak{X}(N)$  (see [22, 24, 25]).

J. Eells and L. Lemaire [10] proposed polyharmonic ( $k$ -harmonic) maps and Jiang [19] studied the first and second variation formulas of biharmonic maps. Let us consider the *bienergy functional* defined by

$$(2.5) \quad E_2(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\tau(\varphi)|^2 v_g,$$

where  $|V|^2 = h(V, V)$ ,  $V \in \Gamma(\varphi^{-1}TN)$ .

The first variation formula of the bienergy functional is given by

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} E_2(\varphi_t) = - \int_M h(\tau_2(\varphi), V) v_g.$$

Here,

$$(2.7) \quad \tau_2(\varphi) := J(\tau(\varphi)) = \bar{\Delta}(\tau(\varphi)) - \mathcal{R}(\tau(\varphi)),$$

which is called the *bitension field* of  $\varphi$ , and  $J$  is given in (2.4).

A smooth map  $\varphi$  of  $(M, g)$  into  $(N, h)$  is said to be *biharmonic* if  $\tau_2(\varphi) = 0$ . By definition, every harmonic map is biharmonic. We say, for an immersion  $\varphi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$  to be *proper biharmonic* if it is biharmonic but not harmonic (minimal) (see [12, 23, 24, 27–30, 33, 37, 38, 42, 43, 48, 49]).

## 2.2. The principal $G$ -bundle

Recall several notions on principal  $G$ -bundles ([5, 21, 22]). A manifold  $P = P(M, G)$  is a principal fiber bundle over  $M$  with a compact Lie group  $G$ , where  $p = \dim P$ ,  $m = \dim M$ , and  $k = \dim G$ . By definition, a Lie group  $G$  acts on  $P$  by right hand side denoted by  $(G, P) \ni (a, u) \mapsto u \cdot a \in P$ , and, for each point  $u \in P$ , the tangent space  $T_u P$  admits a subspace  $G_u := \{A^*_u \mid A \in \mathfrak{g}\}$ , the vertical subspace at  $u$ , and each  $A \in \mathfrak{g}$  defines the fundamental vector field  $A^* \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$  by

$$A^*_u := \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} u \exp(tA) \in T_u P.$$

A Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $P$  is called *adapted* if it is invariant under all the right action of  $G$ , i.e.,  $R_a^* g = g$  for all  $a \in G$ . An adapted Riemannian metric on  $P$  always exists because for every Riemannian metric  $g'$  on  $P$ , define a new metric  $g$  on  $P$  by

$$g_u(X_u, Y_u) = \int_G g'(R_{a*} X_u, R_{a*} Y_u) d\mu(a),$$

where  $d\mu(a)$  is a bi-invariant Haar measure on  $G$ . Then,  $R_a^* g = g$  for all  $a \in G$ . Each tangent space  $T_u P$  has the orthogonal direct decomposition of the tangent space  $T_u P$ ,

$$(a) \quad T_u P = G_u \oplus H_u,$$

where the subspace  $G_u$  of  $P_u$  satisfies

$$(b) \quad G_u = \{A^*_u \mid A \in \mathfrak{g}\},$$

and the subspace  $H_u$  of  $P_u$  satisfies that

$$(c) \quad H_{u \cdot a} = R_{a*} H_u, \quad a \in G, \quad u \in P,$$

where the subspace  $H_u$  of  $P_u$  is called *horizontal subspace* at  $u \in P$  with respect to  $g$ .

In the following, we fix a locally defined orthonormal frame field  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^p$  corresponding (a), (b) in such a way that  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$  is a locally defined orthonormal basis of the horizontal subspace  $H_u$  ( $u \in P$ ), and  $\{e_i = A^*_{m+i}\}_{i=1}^k$  is a locally defined orthonormal basis of the vertical subspace  $G_u$  ( $u \in P$ ) for an orthonormal basis  $\{A_{m+i}\}_{i=1}^k$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of a Lie group  $G$  with respect to the  $\text{Ad}(G)$  invariant inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .

For each decomposition (a), one can define a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued 1-form  $\omega$  on  $P$  by

$$\omega(X_u) = A, \quad X_u = X_u^V + X_u^H,$$

where

$$X_u^V \in G_u, \quad X_u^H \in H_u, \quad X_u^V = A_u^*$$

for  $u \in P$  and a unique  $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ . This 1-form  $\omega$  on  $P$  is called a *connection form* of  $P$ .

Then, there exist a unique Riemannian metric  $h$  on  $M$  and an  $\text{Ad}(G)$ -invariant inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that

$$g(X_u, Y_u) = h(\pi_* X_u, \pi_* Y_u) + \langle \omega(X_u), \omega(Y_u) \rangle, \quad X_u, Y_u \in T_u P, \quad u \in P,$$

namely,

$$g = \pi^* h + \langle \omega(\cdot), \omega(\cdot) \rangle.$$

We call this Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $P$ , an *adapted* Riemannian metric on  $P$ .

Then, let us recall the following definitions for our question:

**Definition 2.1.** (1) The projection  $\pi : (P, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$  is to be *harmonic* if the tension field vanishes,  $\tau(\pi) = 0$ , and

(2) the projection  $\pi : (P, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$  is to be *biharmonic* if, the bitension field vanishes,  $\tau_2(\pi) = J(\tau(\pi)) = 0$ .

Here,  $J$  is the Jacobi operator for the projection  $\pi$  given by

$$J(V) := \bar{\Delta}V - \mathcal{R}(V), \quad V \in \Gamma(\pi^{-1}TM),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Delta}V &:= - \sum_{i=1}^p \{ \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} (\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} V) - \bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_i} e_i} V \} \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^m \{ \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} (\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} V) - \bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_i} e_i} V \} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^k \{ \bar{\nabla}_{A_{m+i}^*} (\bar{\nabla}_{A_{m+i}^*} V) - \bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_{A_{m+i}^*} A_{m+i}^*} V \} \end{aligned}$$

for  $V \in \Gamma(\pi^{-1}TM)$ , i.e.,  $V(x) \in T_{\pi(x)}M$  ( $x \in P$ ). Here,  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^p$  is a local orthonormal frame field on  $(P, g)$  which is given by that:  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^m$  is an orthonormal horizontal field on the principal  $G$ -bundle  $\pi : (P, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$  and  $\{e_{m+i, u} = A^*_{m+i, u}\}_{i=1}^k$  ( $u \in P$ ) is an orthonormal frame field on the vertical space  $G_u = \{A_u^* | A \in \mathfrak{g}\}$  ( $u \in P$ ) corresponding to an orthonormal basis  $\{A_{m+i}\}_{i=1}^k$  of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ .

### 2.3. The warped products

On the product manifold  $P = M \times F$  for two Riemannian manifolds  $(M, h)$  and  $(F, k)$ , and a  $C^\infty$  function,  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  on  $M$ , let us consider the Riemannian metric

$$(2.8) \quad g = \pi^*h + f^2 k,$$

where the projection  $\pi : P = M \times F \ni (x, y) \mapsto x \in M$ . The Riemannian submersion  $\pi : (P, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$  is called the *warped product* of  $(M, h)$  and  $(F, k)$  with a *warping function*  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  ([4, 11, 46]). In this section, we prepare several notions in order to calculate the tension field and bitension field.

We first construct a locally defined orthonormal frame field  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{m+\ell}$  on  $(P, g)$  where  $m = \dim M$  and  $\ell = \dim F$  as follows: For  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,

$$e_{i(x,y)} := (e'_{ix}, 0_y) \in T_{(x,y)}P = T_xM \times T_yF,$$

and for  $i = m+1, \dots, p$ ,

$$e_{i(x,y)} := \frac{1}{f(x)} (0_x, e''_{iy}) \in T_{(x,y)}P = T_xM \times T_yF,$$

where  $p = m + \ell$ .

Recall the O'Neill's formulas on the warped product (cf. [4, 11]). For a  $C^\infty$  vector field  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  on  $M$ ,  $X^* \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$ , the *horizontal lift* of  $X$  which satisfies for  $z \in P$ ,

$$(2.9) \quad X^*_z \in \mathcal{H}_z, \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_*(X^*_z) = X_{\pi(z)},$$

where recall the *vertical subspace*  $\mathcal{V}_z$  and *horizontal subspace*  $\mathcal{H}_z$  of the tangent space  $T_zP$ :

$$(2.10) \quad \mathcal{V}_z = \text{Ker}(\pi_{*(x,y)}),$$

$$(2.11) \quad T_zP = \mathcal{V}_z \oplus \mathcal{H}_z, \quad g(\mathcal{V}_z, \mathcal{H}_z) = 0,$$

where  $\pi_{*(x,y)} : T_{(x,y)}P \rightarrow T_xM$  is the differential of the projection  $\pi : P \rightarrow M$  at  $(x, y) \in P$ .

Let  $q : P = M \times F \ni (x, y) \mapsto y \in F$  be the projection of  $P$  onto  $F$ . For a vector field  $V$  on  $F$ , there exists a unique vector field  $\tilde{V}$  on  $P$  satisfying that  $\tilde{V} \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $q_*(\tilde{V}) = V$ . We identify  $V \in \mathfrak{X}(F)$  with  $\tilde{V} \in \mathcal{V}$  denoting by the same letter  $V$  in the following.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  be vector fields on  $M$ , and  $V, W \in \mathfrak{X}(F)$ , vector fields on  $F$ , and  $\nabla^g, \nabla^h, \nabla^k$ , the Levi-Civita connections of  $(P, g)$ ,  $(M, h)$ , and  $(F, k)$ , respectively. Then,*

- (1)  $\text{grad}(f \circ \pi) = \text{grad} f$ .
- (2)  $\pi_*(\nabla^g_{X^*} Y^*) = \nabla^h_X Y$ , where  $X^*$  and  $Y^*$  are the horizontal lifts of  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively.
- (3)  $\nabla^g_{X^*} V = \nabla^g_V X^* = \frac{Xf}{f} V$ .

- (4)  $\mathcal{H}(\nabla^g_V W) = -f k(V, W) G = -\frac{1}{f} g(V, W) G$ , where  $G$  is the gradient of  $f$  and  $f \circ \pi$ .
- (5)  $\mathcal{V}(\nabla^g_V W) = \nabla^k_V W$ , where  $\mathcal{H}A$ , and  $\mathcal{V}A$  are the horizontal part, and the vertical part of  $A$ , respectively.

**Lemma 2.2** (O'Neill's formulas).

- (1)  $g_{(x,y)}(X^*(x,y), Y^*(x,y)) = h_x(X_x, Y_x)$ ,  $x \in M$ .
- (2)  $\pi_*([X^*, Y^*]) = [X, Y]$ .
- (3)  $\pi_*(\nabla^g_{X^*} Y^*) = \nabla^h_X Y$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** For a vector field  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  whose  $h(X, X)$  is constant,  $\nabla^g_{X^*} X^*$  is the horizontal lift of  $\nabla^h_X X$ .

*Proof.* By (3) of Lemma 2.2, we only have to see  $\nabla^g_{X^*} X^*$  is a horizontal vector field. Due to Lemma 2.3(1), for every vertical vector field  $V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 2g(\nabla^g_{X^*} X^*, V) &= X^*(g(X^*, V)) + X^*(g(V, X^*)) - V(g(X^*, X^*)) \\
 &\quad + g(V, [X^*, X^*]) + g(X^*, [V, X^*]) - g(X^*, [X^*, V]) \\
 &= 2g(X^*, [X^*, V]) \\
 (2.12) \qquad &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here, the last equality of (2.12) follows as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 [X^*, V] &= \nabla^g_{X^*} V - \nabla^g_V X^* \\
 &= \frac{Xf}{f} V - \frac{Xf}{f} V \\
 (2.13) \qquad &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

by using Lemma 2.1(3).  $\square$

Then, we can choose a locally defined orthonormal vector field

$$\{e_1, \dots, e_m, e_{m+1}, \dots, e_{m+\ell}\}$$

on  $(P, g)$  in such a way that  $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$  are orthonormal vector fields which are horizontal lifts of the orthonormal vector fields  $e'_1, \dots, e'_m$  on  $(M, h)$  and  $e_{m+1} = \frac{1}{f} e''_{m+1}, \dots, e_{m+\ell} = \frac{1}{f} e''_{m+\ell}$ . Then, by Lemma 2.3,  $\nabla^g_{e_i} e_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , are the horizontal lifts of  $\nabla^h_{e'_i} e'_i$ .

For  $i = m+1, \dots, m+\ell$ , we have the following decomposition:

$$(2.14) \quad \nabla^g_{e_i} e_i = \frac{1}{f^2} \left\{ -(e''_i f) e_i + \nabla^k_{e''_i} e''_i - f \nabla(f \circ \pi) \right\}.$$

We first note that  $\nabla(f \circ \pi)$  is a horizontal vector field on  $P$ . Because,

$$g(\nabla(f \circ \pi), V) = Vf = 0$$

for every  $V \in \mathfrak{X}(F)$ . And the first two terms of (2.14) are vertical since  $\nabla^k_{e''_i} e''_i$ ,  $i = m+1, \dots, m+\ell$ , are vertical.



To prove (2.14), for  $i = m + 1, \dots, m + \ell$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nabla^g_{e_i} e_i &= \nabla^g_{\frac{1}{f} e_i''} \frac{1}{f} e_i'' \\
 &= \frac{1}{f} \left\{ e_i'' \left( \frac{1}{f} \right) e_i'' + \frac{1}{f} \nabla^g_{e_i''} e_i'' \right\} \\
 (2.15) \quad &= \frac{1}{f^2} \left\{ -\frac{e_i'' f}{f} e_i'' + \nabla^g_{e_i''} e_i'' \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We decompose  $\nabla^g_{e_i''} e_i''$  into the vertical and horizontal components:

$$(2.16) \quad \nabla^g_{e_i''} e_i'' = \mathcal{V}(\nabla^g_{e_i''} e_i'') + \mathcal{H}(\nabla^g_{e_i''} e_i'').$$

Here, by Lemma 2.1(5), we have

$$(2.17) \quad \mathcal{V}(\nabla^g_{e_i''} e_i'') = \nabla^k_{e_i''} e_i''.$$

By Lemma 2.1(4) and  $k(e_i'', e_j'') = \delta_{ij}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{H}(\nabla^g_{e_i''} e_i'') &= -f k(e_i'', e_i'') G \\
 &= -f G \\
 &= -f \nabla f \\
 (2.18) \quad &= -f \nabla(f \circ \pi)
 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.1(1). We obtain (2.14).

### 3. Proof of Theorem 3.2

If the principal  $G$ -bundle  $\pi : (P, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$  is harmonic, then it is clearly biharmonic. Our main interest is to ask the reverse holds under what conditions:

**Problem 3.1.** If the projection  $\pi$  of a principal  $G$ -bundle  $\pi : (P, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$  is biharmonic, is  $\pi$  harmonic or not.

In this paper, we show that this problem is affirmative when the Ricci curvature of the base manifold  $(M, h)$  is negative definite. Indeed, we show that:

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\pi : (P, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$  be a principal  $G$ -bundle over a Riemannian manifold  $(M, h)$  with non-positive Ricci curvature. Assume  $P$  is compact so that  $M$  is also compact. If the projection  $\pi$  is biharmonic, then it is harmonic.*

In this section, we give a proof of Theorem 3.2 in case of a compact Riemannian manifold  $(M, h)$  and the Ricci tensor of  $(M, h)$  is negative definite. We will give the proof of Theorem 4.1 in case of a non-compact complete Riemannian manifold  $(M, h)$  in Section 4.

Let us first consider a principal  $G$ -bundle  $\pi : (P, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$  whose the total space  $P$  is compact. Assume that the projection  $\pi : (P, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$  is

biharmonic, which is by definition,  $J(\tau(\pi)) \equiv 0$ , where  $\tau(\pi)$  is the tension field of  $\pi$  which is defined by

$$(3.1) \quad \tau(\pi) := \sum_{i=1}^p \{\nabla_{e_i}^h \pi_* e_i - \pi_*(\nabla_{e_i} e_i)\},$$

the Jacobi operator  $J$  is defined by

$$(3.2) \quad JV := \bar{\Delta}V - \mathcal{R}(V) \quad (V \in \Gamma(\pi^{-1}TM)),$$

$\bar{\Delta}$  is the rough Laplacian defined by

$$(3.3) \quad \bar{\Delta}V := - \sum_{i=1}^p \{\bar{\nabla}_{e_i}(\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} V) - \bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_i} e_i} V\},$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{R}(V) := R^h(V, \pi_* e_i) \pi_* e_i,$$

where  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^p$  is a locally defined orthonormal frame field on  $(P, g)$ .

The tangent space  $P_u$  ( $u \in P$ ) is canonically decomposed into the orthogonal direct sum of the vertical subspace  $G_u = \{A_u^* \mid A \in \mathfrak{g}\}$  and the horizontal subspace  $H_u$ :  $P_u = G_u \oplus H_u$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_2(\pi) &= \bar{\Delta}\tau(\pi) - \sum_{i=1}^p R^h(\tau(\pi), \pi_* e_i) \pi_* e_i \\ &= \bar{\Delta}\tau(\pi) - \sum_{i=1}^m R^h(\tau(\pi), \pi_* e_i) \pi_* e_i \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^k R^h(\tau(\pi), \pi_* A_{m+i}^*) \pi_* A_{m+i}^* \\ &= \bar{\Delta}\tau(\pi) - \sum_{i=1}^m R^h(\tau(\pi), \pi_* e_i) \pi_* e_i, \end{aligned}$$

where  $p = \dim P$ ,  $m = \dim M$ ,  $k = \dim G$ , respectively. Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_M \langle J(\tau(\pi)), \tau(\pi) \rangle v_g \\ &= \int_M \langle \bar{\nabla}^* \bar{\nabla} \tau(\pi), \tau(\pi) \rangle v_g - \int_M \sum_{i=1}^m \langle R^h(\tau(\pi), \pi_* e_i) \pi_* e_i, \tau(\pi) \rangle v_g \\ &= \int_M \langle \bar{\nabla} \tau(\pi), \bar{\nabla} \tau(\pi) \rangle v_g - \int_M \sum_{i=1}^m \langle R^h(\tau(\pi), \pi_* e_i) \pi_* e_i, \tau(\pi) \rangle v_g. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\int_M \langle \bar{\nabla} \tau(\pi), \bar{\nabla} \tau(\pi) \rangle v_g = \int_M \sum_{i=1}^m \langle R^h(\tau(\pi), \pi_* e_i) \pi_* e_i, \tau(\pi) \rangle v_g$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_M \sum_{i=1}^m \langle R^h(\tau(\pi), e'_i) e'_i, \tau(\pi) \rangle v_g \\
 (3.5) \quad &= \int_M \operatorname{Ric}^h(\tau(\pi)) v_g,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\{e'_i\}_{i=1}^m$  is a locally defined orthonormal frame field on  $(M, h)$  satisfying  $\pi_* e_i = e'_i$ , and  $\operatorname{Ric}(X)$  is the Ricci curvature of  $(M, h)$  along  $X \in T_x M$ . The left hand side of (3.5) is non-negative, and then, the both hand sides of (3.5) must vanish if the Ricci curvature of  $(M, h)$  is non-positive. Therefore, we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{cases} \bar{\nabla}_X \tau(\pi) = 0 & (\forall X \in \mathfrak{X}(P)), \text{ i.e., } \tau(\pi) \text{ is parallel, and} \\ \operatorname{Ric}^h(\tau(\pi)) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let us define a 1-form  $\alpha \in A^1(P)$  on  $P$  by  $\alpha(X) = \langle d\pi(X), \tau(\pi) \rangle$ ,  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$ . Then, we have

$$(3.7) \quad -\delta\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^p (\nabla_{e_i} \alpha)(e_i) = \langle \tau(\pi), \tau(\pi) \rangle + \langle d\pi, \bar{\nabla} \tau(\pi) \rangle.$$

Integrate the above (3.7) over  $P$  since  $P$  is compact without boundary. By (3.6),  $\bar{\nabla}_X \tau(\pi) = 0$ ,  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$ , we have

$$(3.8) \quad 0 = - \int_P \delta\alpha v_g = \int_P \langle \tau(\pi), \tau(\pi) \rangle v_g$$

which implies that  $\tau(\pi) = 0$ , i.e.,  $\pi : (P, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$  is harmonic.

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 4.1

In this section, we will show:

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\pi : (P, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$  be a principal  $G$ -bundle over a Riemannian manifold with non-positive Ricci curvature. Assume that  $(P, g)$  is a non-compact complete Riemannian manifold, and the projection  $\pi$  has both finite energy  $E(\pi) < \infty$  and finite bienergy  $E_2(\pi) < \infty$ . If  $\pi$  is biharmonic, then it is harmonic.*

Here, we first recall the following examples:

**Example 1** (cf. [26], p. 62). The inversion in the unit sphere  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{o\} \ni \mathbf{x} \mapsto \frac{\mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{x}|^2} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a biharmonic morphism if  $n = 4$ . It is not harmonic since  $\tau(\phi) = -\frac{4\mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{x}|^4}$ .

Here, a  $C^\infty$  map  $\phi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$  is called to be a *biharmonic morphism* if, for every biharmonic function  $f : U \subset N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $\phi^{-1}(U) \neq \emptyset$ , the composition  $f \circ \phi : \phi^{-1}(U) \subset M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is biharmonic.

**Example 2** (cf. [26], p. 70). Let  $(M^2, h)$  be a Riemannian surface, and let  $\beta : M^2 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^*$  and  $\lambda : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^*$  be two positive  $C^\infty$  functions. Consider the projection  $\pi : (M^2 \times \mathbb{R}^*, g = \lambda^{-2}h + \beta^2 dt^2) \ni (p, t) \mapsto p \in (M^2, h)$ . Here, we take  $\beta = c_2 e^{\int f(x) dx}$ ,  $f(x) = \frac{-c_1(1+e^{c_1 x})}{1-e^{c_1 x}}$  with  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}^*$ , and  $(M^2, h) = (\mathbb{R}^2, dx^2 + dy^2)$ . Then,

$$\pi : (\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^*, dx^2 + dy^2 + \beta^2(x) dt^2) \ni (x, y, t) \mapsto (x, y) \in (\mathbb{R}^2, dx^2 + dy^2)$$

gives a family of *proper biharmonic* (i.e., biharmonic but not harmonic) Riemannian submersions.

For a non-compact and complete Riemannian manifold  $(N, h)$  with non-positive Ricci curvature, we will give a proof of Theorem 4.1.

(*The first step*) We first take a cut off function  $\eta$  on  $(P, g)$  for a fixed point  $p_0 \in P$  as follows:

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} 0 \leq \eta \leq 1 & (\text{on } P), \\ \eta = 1 & (\text{on } B_r(p_0)), \\ \eta = 0 & (\text{outside } B_{2r}(p_0)), \\ |\nabla \eta| \leq \frac{2}{r} & (\text{on } P), \end{cases}$$

where  $B_r(p_0)$  is the ball in  $(P, g)$  of radius  $r$  around  $p_0$ .

Now assume that the projection  $\pi : (P, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$  is biharmonic. Namely, we have, by definition,

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= J_2(\pi) = J_\pi(\tau(\pi)) \\ &= \bar{\Delta} \tau(\pi) - \sum_{i=1}^p R^h(\tau(\pi), \pi_* e_i) \pi_* e_i, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^p$  is a local orthonormal frame field on  $(P, g)$  and  $\bar{\Delta}$  is the rough Laplacian which is defined by

$$(4.3) \quad \bar{\Delta} V := \bar{\nabla}^* \bar{\nabla} V = - \sum_{i=1}^p \{ \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} (\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} V) - \bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_i} e_i} V \}$$

for  $V \in \Gamma(\pi^{-1}TM)$ .

(*The second step*) By (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_P \langle \bar{\nabla}^* \bar{\nabla} \tau(\pi), \eta^2 \tau(\pi) \rangle v_g &= \int_P \eta^2 \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^p R^h(\tau(\pi), \pi_* e_i) \pi_* e_i, \tau(\pi) \right\rangle v_g \\ &= \int_P \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^p \langle R^h(\tau(\pi), \pi_* e_i) \pi_* e_i, \tau(\pi) \rangle v_g \\ &= \int_P \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^m \langle R^h(\tau(\pi), e'_i) e'_i, \tau(\pi) \rangle v_g \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.4) \quad = \int_P \eta^2 \operatorname{Ric}^h(\tau(\pi)) v_g,$$

where  $\{e'_i\}_{i=1}^m$  is a local orthonormal frame field on  $(M, h)$ , and  $\operatorname{Ric}^h(u)$   $u \in T_y M$ , ( $y \in M$ ) is the Ricci curvature of  $(M, h)$  which is non-positive by our assumption.

(The third step) Therefore, we obtain

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \int_P \langle \bar{\nabla}^* \bar{\nabla} \tau(\pi), \eta^2 \tau(\pi) \rangle v_g \\ &= \int_P \langle \bar{\nabla} \tau(\pi), \bar{\nabla}(\eta^2 \tau(\pi)) \rangle v_g \\ &= \int_P \sum_{i=1}^p \langle \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \tau(\pi), \bar{\nabla}_{e_i}(\eta^2 \tau(\pi)) \rangle v_g \\ &= \int_P \sum_{i=1}^p \{ \eta^2 \langle \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \tau(\pi), \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \tau(\pi) \rangle + e_i(\eta^2) \langle \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \tau(\pi), \tau(\pi) \rangle \} v_g \\ &= \int_P \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^p |\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \tau(\pi)|^2 v_g + 2 \int_P \sum_{i=1}^p \langle \eta \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \tau(\pi), e_i(\eta) \tau(\pi) \rangle v_g. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain by (4.5),

(The fourth step) Then, we have

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_P \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^p |\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \tau(\pi)|^2 v_g &\leq -2 \int_P \sum_{i=1}^p \langle \eta \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \tau(\pi), e_i(\eta) \tau(\pi) \rangle v_g \\ &= -2 \int_P \sum_{i=1}^p \langle V_i, W_i \rangle v_g, \end{aligned}$$

where  $V_i := \eta \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \tau(\pi)$ , and  $W_i := e_i(\eta) \tau(\pi)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, p$ ). Then, the right hand side of (4.6) is estimated by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$(4.7) \quad \pm 2 \langle V_i, W_i \rangle \leq \epsilon |V_i|^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} |W_i|^2$$

since

$$0 \leq |\sqrt{\epsilon} V_i \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} W_i|^2 = \epsilon |V_i|^2 \pm 2 \langle V_i, W_i \rangle + \frac{1}{\epsilon} |W_i|^2,$$

so that

$$\mp 2 \langle V_i, W_i \rangle \leq \epsilon |V_i|^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} |W_i|^2.$$

Therefore, the right hand side of (4.6) is estimated as follows:

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{RHS of (4.6)} &:= - \int_P \sum_{i=1}^p \langle V_i, W_i \rangle v_g \\ &\leq \epsilon \int_P \sum_{i=1}^p |V_i|^2 v_g + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_P \sum_{i=1}^p |W_i|^2 v_g. \end{aligned}$$

(The fifth step) By putting  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ , we have

$$(4.9) \quad \int_P \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^p |\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \tau(\pi)|^2 v_g \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_P \sum_{i=1}^p \eta^2 |\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \tau(\pi)|^2 v_g + 2 \int_P \sum_{i=1}^p e_i(\eta)^2 |\tau(\pi)|^2 v_g.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$(4.10) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_P \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^p |\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \tau(\pi)|^2 v_g \leq 2 \int_P |\nabla \eta|^2 |\tau(\pi)|^2 v_g.$$

Substituting (4.1) into (4.10), we obtain

$$(4.11) \quad \int_P \eta^2 \sum_{i=1}^p |\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \tau(\pi)|^2 v_g \leq 4 \int_P |\nabla \eta|^2 |\tau(\pi)|^2 v_g \leq \frac{16}{r^2} \int_P |\tau(\pi)|^2 v_g.$$

(The sixth step) Tending  $r \rightarrow \infty$  by the completeness of  $(P, g)$  and  $E_2(\pi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_P |\tau(\pi)|^2 v_g < \infty$ , we obtain that

$$(4.12) \quad \int_P \sum_{i=1}^p |\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \tau(\pi)|^2 v_g = 0,$$

which implies that

$$(4.13) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X \tau(\pi) = 0 \quad (\forall X \in \mathfrak{X}(P)).$$

(The seventh step) Therefore, we obtain

$$(4.14) \quad |\tau(\pi)| \quad \text{is constant, say } c$$

because

$$X |\tau(\pi)|^2 = 2 \langle \bar{\nabla}_X \tau(\pi), \tau(\pi) \rangle = 0 \quad (\forall X \in \mathfrak{X}(M))$$

by (4.13).

(The eighth step) In the case that  $\text{Vol}(P, g) = \infty$  and  $E_2(\pi) < \infty$ ,  $c$  must be zero. Because, if  $c \neq 0$ ,

$$E_2(\pi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_P |\tau(\pi)|^2 v_g = \frac{c}{2} \text{Vol}(P, g) = \infty$$

which is a contradiction.

Thus, if  $\text{Vol}(P, g) = \infty$ , then  $c = 0$ , i.e.,  $\pi : (P, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$  is harmonic.

(The ninth step) In the case  $E(\pi) < \infty$  and  $E_2(\pi) < \infty$ , let us define a 1-form  $\alpha \in A^1(P)$  on  $P$  by

$$(4.15) \quad \alpha(X) := \langle d\pi(X), \tau(\pi) \rangle, \quad (X \in \mathfrak{X}(P)).$$

Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_P |\alpha| v_g &= \int_P \left( \sum_{i=1}^p |\alpha(e_i)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \int_P |d\pi| |\tau(\pi)| v_g \\ &\leq \left( \int_P |d\pi|^2 v_g \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_P |\tau(\pi)|^2 v_g \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.16) \quad = 2\sqrt{E(\pi)E_2(\pi)} < \infty.$$

For the function  $\delta\alpha := -\sum_{i=1}^p(\nabla_{e_i}\alpha)(e_i) \in C^\infty(P)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\delta\alpha &= \sum_{i=1}^p(\nabla_{e_i}\alpha)(e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^p\{e_i(\alpha(e_i)) - \alpha(\nabla_{e_i}e_i)\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^p\{e_i\langle d\pi(e_i), \tau(\pi) \rangle - \langle d\pi(\nabla_{e_i}e_i), \tau(\pi) \rangle\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^p\{\langle \bar{\nabla}_{e_i}d\pi(e_i), \tau(\pi) \rangle + \langle d\pi(e_i), \bar{\nabla}_{e_i}\tau(\pi) \rangle - \langle d\pi(\nabla_{e_i}e_i), \tau(\pi) \rangle\} \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^p\{\bar{\nabla}_{e_i}d\pi(e_i) - d\pi(\nabla_{e_i}e_i)\}, \tau(\pi) \right\rangle + \sum_{i=1}^p\langle d\pi(e_i), \bar{\nabla}_{e_i}\tau(\pi) \rangle \\ &= \langle \tau(\pi), \tau(\pi) \rangle + \langle d\pi, \bar{\nabla}\tau(\pi) \rangle \\ (4.17) \quad &= |\tau(\pi)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

since  $\bar{\nabla}\tau(\pi) = 0$ . By (4.17), we obtain

$$(4.18) \quad \int_P |\delta\alpha| v_g = \int_P |\tau(\pi)|^2 v_g = 2E_2(\pi) < \infty.$$

By (4.16), (4.18) and the completeness of  $(P, g)$ , we can apply Gaffney's theorem which implies that

$$(4.19) \quad 0 = \int_P (-\delta\alpha) v_g = \int_P |\tau(\pi)|^2 v_g.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$(4.20) \quad \tau(\pi) = 0,$$

that is,  $\pi : (P, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$  is harmonic. We obtain Theorem 4.1.

## 5. The tension fields of the warped products

In this section, we calculate the tension field  $\tau(\pi)$ . Let us recall the definition of the tension field:

**Definition 5.1.**

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\pi) &= \sum_{i=1}^{m+\ell} \{\bar{\nabla}_{e_i}\pi_*e_i - \pi_*(\nabla_{e_i}^g e_i)\} \\ (5.1) \quad &= \sum_{i=1}^{m+\ell} \{\nabla_{\pi_*e_i}^h \pi_*e_i - \pi_*(\nabla_{e_i}^g e_i)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\nabla^g_{e_i} e_i$  are the horizontal lifts of  $\nabla^h_{e'_i} e'_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , and (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(\pi) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \{ \nabla^h_{\pi_* e_i} \pi_* e_i - \pi_* (\nabla^g_{e_i} e_i) \} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+\ell} \{ \nabla^h_{\pi_* e_i} \pi_* e_i - \pi_* (\nabla^g_{e_i} e_i) \} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m \{ \nabla^h_{e'_i} e'_i - \nabla^h_{e'_i} e'_i \} + \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+\ell} \left\{ 0 - \left( -\frac{1}{f} \nabla(f \circ \pi) \right) \right\} \\
(5.2) \quad &= \frac{\ell}{f} \nabla(f \circ \pi).
\end{aligned}$$

Indeed, we obtain the second equality of (5.2) as follows: The first sum vanishes since  $\pi_* e_i = e'_i$  and  $\pi_* \nabla^g_{e_i} e_i = \nabla^h_{e'_i} e'_i$ , ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ). The second sum coincides with  $\frac{\ell}{f} \nabla(f \circ \pi)$  since  $\pi_* e_i = 0$  and also  $\pi_* \nabla^g_{e_i} e_i = -\frac{1}{f} \nabla(f \circ \pi)$  ( $i = m+1, \dots, m+\ell$ ). Therefore, we obtain:

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $\pi : (P, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$  be the warped product. Then, we have*

$$(5.3) \quad \tau(\pi) = \frac{\ell}{f} \nabla(f \circ \pi).$$

*Then,  $\pi$  is harmonic if and only if  $f$  is constant.*

## 6. The bitension fields of the warped products

Let us recall the definition of the bitension field for a  $C^\infty$  mapping  $\varphi : (P, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$  which is given by

$$(6.1) \quad \tau_2(\varphi) := \bar{\Delta} \tau(\varphi) - \mathcal{R}^h(\tau(\varphi)).$$

Here, recall, for  $V \in \Gamma(\varphi^{-1}TM)$ ,

$$(6.2) \quad \bar{\Delta} V := - \sum_{i=1}^p \{ \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} (\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} V) - \bar{\nabla}_{\nabla^g_{e_i} e_i} V \},$$

$$(6.3) \quad \mathcal{R}^h V := \sum_{i=1}^p R^h(V, \varphi_* e_i) \varphi_* e_i,$$

where  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^p$  is a locally defined orthonormal frame field on  $(P, g)$ ,  $p = \dim P$ ,  $\bar{\nabla}$  is the induced connection on the induced bundle  $\varphi^{-1}TM$ , and the curvature tensor of  $(N, h)$  is given by  $R^h(U, V)W := \nabla^h_U(\nabla^h_V W) - \nabla^h_V(\nabla^h_U W) - \nabla^h_{[U, V]}W$  for  $U, V, W \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  (cf.[5, 21, 22]).

**Definition 6.1.**  $\pi : (P, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$  is *biharmonic* if  $\tau_2(\pi) = 0$ .



Let us  $\pi : (P, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$  be the warped product whose Riemannian metric  $g$  is given by (2.8). For  $V = \tau(\pi)$ , then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{R}^h V &= \sum_{i=1}^p R^h(\tau(\pi), \pi_* e_i) \pi_* e_i \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^m R^h(\tau(\pi), e'_i) e'_i \\
 (6.4) \qquad &= \rho^h(\tau(\pi)),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $m = \dim M$  and  $\rho^h$  is Ricci transform of  $(M, h)$  given by  $\rho^h(u) := \sum_{i=1}^m R^h(u, e'_i) e'_i$ ,  $u \in T_x M$ , and  $\{e'_i\}_{i=1}^m$  is a locally defined orthonormal field on  $(M, h)$ .

In the following, we calculate the rough Laplacian  $\bar{\Delta}$  for  $V = \tau(\pi)$ .

(The first step) We calculate  $\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \tau(\pi)$  and  $\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} (\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \tau(\pi))$  as follows:

$$(6.5) \quad \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \tau(\pi) = \nabla_{\pi_* e_i}^h \tau(\pi) = \begin{cases} \nabla_{e'_i}^h \tau(\pi) & (i = 1, \dots, m = \dim M), \\ 0 & (i = m + 1, \dots, m + \ell), \end{cases}$$

where  $p := \dim P = m + \ell$ ,  $m = \dim M$ , and  $\ell = \dim F$ . Furthermore,

$$(6.6) \quad \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} (\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \tau(\pi)) = \begin{cases} \nabla_{e'_i}^h (\nabla_{e'_i}^h \tau(\pi)) & (i = 1, \dots, m), \\ 0 & (i = m + 1, \dots, m + \ell = p). \end{cases}$$

(The second step) We calculate  $\bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_i}^g} \tau(\pi)$  by the similar way as the first step:

For  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,

$$(6.7) \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_i}^g} \tau(\pi) = \nabla_{\pi_* (\nabla_{e_i}^g)}^h \tau(\pi) = \nabla_{\nabla_{e'_i}^h}^h \tau(\pi),$$

and for  $i = m + 1, \dots, m + \ell$ , by (5.1),

$$(6.8) \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_i}^g} \tau(\pi) = \nabla_{\pi_* (\nabla_{e_i}^g)}^h \tau(\pi) = \nabla_{-\frac{1}{f} \nabla(f \circ \pi)}^h \tau(\pi).$$

(The third step) Therefore, we calculate (6.2) for  $V = \tau(\pi)$  as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{\Delta} \tau(\pi) &:= - \sum_{i=1}^p \left\{ \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} (\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \tau(\pi)) - \bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_i}^g} \tau(\pi) \right\} \\
 &= - \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} (\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \tau(\pi)) - \bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_i}^g} \tau(\pi) \right\} \\
 &\quad - \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+\ell} \left\{ \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} (\bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \tau(\pi)) - \bar{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_i}^g} \tau(\pi) \right\} \\
 &= - \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ \nabla_{e'_i}^h (\nabla_{e'_i}^h \tau(\pi)) - \nabla_{\nabla_{e'_i}^h}^h \tau(\pi) \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+\ell} \left\{ 0 - \nabla^h_{-\frac{1}{f}\nabla(f\circ\pi)}\tau(\pi) \right\} \\
(6.9) \quad & = - \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ \nabla^h_{e'_i}(\nabla^h_{e'_i}\tau(\pi)) - \nabla^h_{\nabla^h_{e'_i}e'_i}\tau(\pi) \right\} - \ell \nabla^h_{\frac{1}{f}\nabla(f\circ\pi)}\tau(\pi).
\end{aligned}$$

(The fourth step) Therefore, by (6.1), (6.4) and (6.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.10) \quad \tau_2(\pi) & = - \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ \bar{\nabla}_{e'_i}(\bar{\nabla}_{e'_i}\tau(\pi)) - \bar{\nabla}_{\nabla^h_{e'_i}e'_i}\tau(\pi) \right\} - \ell \nabla^h_{\frac{1}{f}\nabla f}\tau(\pi) - \rho^h(\tau(\pi)) \\
& = \ell J_{\text{id}} \left( \frac{1}{f} \nabla f \right) - \ell^2 \nabla^h_{\frac{1}{f}\nabla f} \left\{ \frac{\nabla f}{f} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$(6.11) \quad = \ell J_{\text{id}} \left( \frac{1}{f} \nabla f \right) + \frac{\ell^2}{f^3} h(\nabla f, \nabla f) \nabla f - \frac{\ell^2}{f^2} \nabla^h_{\nabla f} \nabla f.$$

Therefore, we can summarize the above by recalling the following definitions:

$$(6.12) \quad J_{\text{id}} := \bar{\Delta}^h - \rho^h,$$

is the Jacobi operator of the identity of  $(M, h)$ ,  $\text{id} : (M, h) \rightarrow (M, h)$  acting on the space  $\mathfrak{X}(M)$  of  $C^\infty$  vector fields on  $M$ , and the operator  $\bar{\Delta}^h$  is defined by

$$(6.13) \quad \bar{\Delta}^h(X) := - \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \nabla^h_{e'_i} \nabla^h_{e'_i} - \nabla^h_{\nabla^h_{e'_i}e'_i} \right) X \quad (X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)),$$

and  $\rho^h$  is the Ricci operator of  $(M, h)$  given by

$$(6.14) \quad \rho^h(X) = R^h(X, e'_i)e'_i \quad (X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)).$$

Therefore, due to (6.1) and (6.2), we have:

**Theorem 6.1.** *For the warped product  $\pi : (P, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$ , the bitension field  $\tau_2(\pi)$  is given by*

$$(6.15) \quad \tau_2(\pi) = \bar{\Delta}(\tau(\pi)) - \rho^h(\tau(\pi)) - \ell \bar{\nabla}_{\frac{\nabla f}{f}}\tau(\pi),$$

where  $\bar{\Delta}$  is the rough Laplacian and  $\bar{\nabla}$  is the induced connection from the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla^h$  of  $(M, h)$ . Therefore, the warped product  $\pi : (P, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$  is biharmonic, i.e.,  $\tau_2(\pi) = 0$ , if and only if the following hold:

$$(6.16) \quad J_{\text{id}} \left( \frac{\nabla f}{f} \right) = \ell \nabla^h_{\frac{1}{f}\nabla f} \left\{ \frac{\nabla f}{f} \right\} = -\frac{\ell}{f^3} h(\nabla f, \nabla f) \nabla f + \frac{\ell}{f^2} \nabla^h_{\nabla f} \nabla f.$$

**Corollary 6.2.** *For a positive  $C^\infty$  function  $f$  on  $M$ , let  $\pi : (P, g) = (M \times_f F, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$  be the warped product with  $g = \pi^*h + f^2k$  over a Riemannian manifold  $(M, h)$  whose Ricci curvature is non-positive. If  $\pi$  is biharmonic, then*

$$(6.17) \quad \int_M (\nabla f) \left( h \left( \frac{\nabla f}{f}, \frac{\nabla f}{f} \right) \right) v_h = 2 \int_M h \left( \nabla^h_{\frac{\nabla f}{f}} \frac{\nabla f}{f}, \frac{\nabla f}{f} \right) v_h \geq 0.$$

*Proof of Corollary 6.3.* If  $\pi : (P, g) \rightarrow (M, h)$  is biharmonic, by (6.15), it holds that

$$(6.18) \quad 0 = \tau_2(\pi) = J_{\text{id}} \left( \ell \frac{\nabla f}{f} \right) - \ell^2 \nabla^h \frac{\nabla f}{f}.$$

which implies that

$$(6.19) \quad 0 \leq \int_M h \left( J_{\text{id}} \left( \frac{\nabla f}{f} \right), \frac{\nabla f}{f} \right) v_h = \ell \int_M h \left( \nabla^h \frac{\nabla f}{f}, \frac{\nabla f}{f} \right) v_h.$$

Because all the eigenvalues of  $J_{\text{id}}$  are non-negative since  $J_{\text{id}} = \bar{\Delta}^h - \rho^h$  and the Ricci transform  $\rho^h$  are non-positive (cf. [4], [6, p. 161]).  $\square$

### 7. The solutions of the ordinary differential equation

Assume that  $(M, h) = (\mathbb{R}, dt^2)$ , a line, and  $(P, g) = F \times_f \mathbb{R}$ , the warped product of a Riemannian manifold  $(F, k)$  and the line  $(\mathbb{R}, dt^2)$ , that is,

$$(7.1) \quad g = \pi^*(dt^2) + f^2 k$$

for a  $C^\infty$  function  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ .

In this case, it holds that

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{cases} J_{\text{id}}(\pi) = J_{\text{id}} \left( \ell \frac{\nabla f}{f} \right) = -\ell \left( \frac{f'}{f} \right)'' \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \\ \ell^2 \nabla^h \frac{\nabla f}{f} = \ell^2 \frac{f'}{f} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}}^h \left( \frac{f'}{f} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) = \ell^2 \frac{f'}{f} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{f'}{f} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \\ = \ell^2 \left( \frac{f' f''}{f^2} - \frac{f'^3}{f^3} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,  $\pi : (F \times_f \mathbb{R}, g) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, dt^2)$  is biharmonic, i.e.,

$$(7.3) \quad \tau_2(\pi) = J_{\text{id}} \left( \ell \frac{\nabla f}{f} \right) - \ell^2 \nabla^h \frac{\nabla f}{f} = 0$$

if and only if

$$(7.4) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= -\ell \left( \frac{f'}{f} \right)'' - \ell^2 \left( \frac{f' f''}{f^2} - \frac{f'^3}{f^3} \right) \\ &= -\ell \left( \frac{f'' f - f'^2}{f^2} \right)' - \ell^2 \left( \frac{f' f''}{f^2} - \frac{f'^3}{f^3} \right) \\ &= -\ell \frac{f''' f^2 - 3 f'' f' f + 2 f'^3}{f^3} - \ell^2 \left( \frac{f' f''}{f^2} - \frac{f'^3}{f^3} \right) \\ &= -\frac{\ell}{f^3} \{ f''' f^2 + (\ell - 3) f'' f' f + (-\ell + 2) f'^3 \} \end{aligned}$$

if and only if

$$(7.5) \quad f''' f^2 + (\ell - 3) f'' f' f + (-\ell + 2) f'^3 = 0.$$

Therefore, we have:

**Theorem 7.1.** *Let  $(F, k)$  be a Riemannian manifold.*

(1) *the warped product  $\pi : (F \times_f \mathbb{R}, g) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, dt^2)$  is biharmonic if and only if (7.5) holds.*

(2) *All the positive  $C^\infty$  solution  $f$  of (7.5) on  $\mathbb{R}$  are given by*

$$(7.6) \quad f(t) = c \exp \left( \int_{t_0}^t a \tanh \left[ a \frac{\ell}{2} r + b \right] dr \right),$$

where  $a \neq 0, b, c > 0$  are arbitrary constants.

(3) *In the case  $(M, h) = (\mathbb{R}, dt^2)$ , let  $f(t)$  be a  $C^\infty$  function defined by (7.6) with  $a \neq 0, b$  any real number and  $c > 0$ . Then, the warped product  $\pi : (\mathbb{R} \times_f F, g) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, dt^2)$  with the Riemannian metric*

$$(7.7) \quad g = \pi^* dt^2 + f^2 k$$

*is biharmonic but not harmonic.*

In order to solve (7.5), we put  $u := (\log f)' = \frac{f'}{f}$ . Then (7.5) turns into the ordinary differential equation on  $u$ :

$$(7.8) \quad u'' + \frac{\ell}{2} (u^2)' = 0.$$

A general solution  $u$  of (5.6) is given by

$$(7.9) \quad u(t) = a \tanh \left[ a \frac{\ell}{2} t + b \right],$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are arbitrary constants. Thus, every positive solution  $f(t)$  is given by

$$(7.10) \quad f(t) = c \exp \left( \int_{t_0}^t a \tanh \left[ a \frac{\ell}{2} r + b \right] dr \right),$$

where  $a, b, c > 0$  are arbitrary constants.

Therefore, we obtain Theorem 7.1 together with Theorem 6.2,  $\square$

## References

- [1] K. Akutagawa and S. Maeta, *Biharmonic properly immersed submanifolds in Euclidean spaces*, *Geom. Dedicata* **164** (2013), 351–355.
- [2] A. Balmus, S. Montaldo, and C. Oniciuc, *Classification results for biharmonic submanifolds in spheres*, *Israel J. Math.* **168** (2008), 201–220.
- [3] ———, *Biharmonic hypersurfaces in 4-dimensional space forms*, *Math. Nachr.* **283** (2010), no. 12, 1696–1705.
- [4] R. L. Bishop and B. O'Neill, *Manifolds of negative curvature*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **145** (1969), 1–49.
- [5] C. P. Boyer and K. Galicki, *Sasakian Geometry*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008.
- [6] R. Caddeo, S. Montaldo, and P. Piu, *On biharmonic maps*, in *Global differential geometry: the mathematical legacy of Alfred Gray* (Bilbao, 2000), 286–290, *Contemp. Math.*, 288, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
- [7] I. Castro, H. Li, and F. Urbano, *Hamiltonian-minimal Lagrangian submanifolds in complex space forms*, *Pacific J. Math.* **227** (2006), no. 1, 43–63.

- [8] B. Y. Chen, *Some open problems and conjectures on submanifolds of finite type*, Soochow J. Math. **17** (1991), no. 2, 169–188.
- [9] F. Defever, *Hypersurfaces of  $\mathbb{E}^4$  with harmonic mean curvature vector*, Math. Nachr. **196** (1998), 61–69.
- [10] J. Eells and L. Lemaire, *Selected topics in harmonic maps*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, **50**, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 1983.
- [11] N. Ejiri, *A construction of nonflat, compact irreducible Riemannian manifolds which are isospectral but not isometric*, Math. Z. **168** (1979), no. 3, 207–212.
- [12] D. Fetcu and C. Oniciuc, *Biharmonic integral  $C$ -parallel submanifolds in 7-dimensional Sasakian space forms*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **64** (2012), no. 2, 195–222.
- [13] Th. Hasanis and Th. Vlachos, *Hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{E}^4$  with harmonic mean curvature vector field*, Math. Nachr. **172** (1995), 145–169.
- [14] T. Ichiyama, J. Inoguchi, and H. Urakawa, *Bi-harmonic maps and bi-Yang-Mills fields*, Note Mat. **28** (2009), suppl. 1, 233–275.
- [15] ———, *Classifications and isolation phenomena of bi-harmonic maps and bi-Yang-Mills fields*, Note Mat. **30** (2010), no. 2, 15–48.
- [16] J.-I. Inoguchi, *Submanifolds with harmonic mean curvature vector field in contact 3-manifolds*, Colloq. Math. **100** (2004), no. 2, 163–179.
- [17] H. Iriyeh, *Hamiltonian minimal Lagrangian cones in  $\mathbb{C}^m$* , Tokyo J. Math. **28** (2005), no. 1, 91–107.
- [18] S. Ishihara and S. Ishikawa, *Notes on relatively harmonic immersions*, Hokkaido Math. J. **4** (1975), no. 2, 234–246.
- [19] G. Y. Jiang, *2-harmonic maps and their first and second variational formulas*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A **7** (1986), no. 4, 389–402.
- [20] T. Kajigaya, *Second variation formula and the stability of Legendrian minimal submanifolds in Sasakian manifolds*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **65** (2013), no. 4, 523–543.
- [21] S. Kobayashi, *Transformation Groups in Differential Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.
- [22] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry. Vol I*, Interscience Publishers, a division of John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1963.
- [23] N. Koiso and H. Urakawa, *Biharmonic submanifolds in a Riemannian manifold*, accepted in Osaka J. Math.
- [24] E. Loubeau and C. Oniciuc, *The index of biharmonic maps in spheres*, Compos. Math. **141** (2005), no. 3, 729–745.
- [25] ———, *On the biharmonic and harmonic indices of the Hopf map*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), no. 11, 5239–5256.
- [26] E. Loubeau and Y.-L. Ou, *Biharmonic maps and morphisms from conformal mappings*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **62** (2010), no. 1, 55–73.
- [27] Y. Luo, *Weakly convex biharmonic hypersurfaces in nonpositive curvature space forms are minimal*, Results Math. **65** (2014), no. 1-2, 49–56.
- [28] ———, *On biharmonic submanifolds in non-positively curved manifolds*, J. Geom. Phys. **88** (2015), 76–87.
- [29] ———, *Remarks on the nonexistence of biharmonic maps*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **107** (2016), no. 2, 191–200.
- [30] S. Maeta and H. Urakawa, *Biharmonic Lagrangian submanifolds in Kähler manifolds*, Glasg. Math. J. **55** (2013), no. 2, 465–480.
- [31] S. Montaldo and C. Oniciuc, *A short survey on biharmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds*, Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina **47** (2006), no. 2, 1–22.
- [32] Y. Nagatomo, *Harmonic maps into Grassmannians and a generalization of do Carmo-Wallach theorem*, in Riemann surfaces, harmonic maps and visualization, 41–52, OCAMI Stud., 3, Osaka Munic. Univ. Press, Osaka, 2008.

- [33] H. Naito and H. Urakawa, *Conformal change of Riemannian metrics and biharmonic maps*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **63** (2014), no. 6, 1631–1657.
- [34] N. Nakauchi and H. Urakawa, *Biharmonic hypersurfaces in a Riemannian manifold with non-positive Ricci curvature*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **40** (2011), no. 2, 125–131.
- [35] ———, *Biharmonic submanifolds in a Riemannian manifold with non-positive curvature*, Results Math. **63** (2013), no. 1-2, 467–474.
- [36] N. Nakauchi, H. Urakawa, and S. Gudmundsson, *Biharmonic maps into a Riemannian manifold of non-positive curvature*, Geom. Dedicata **169** (2014), 263–272.
- [37] S. Ohno, T. Sakai, and H. Urakawa, *Rigidity of transversally biharmonic maps between foliated Riemannian manifolds*, Hokkaido Math. J., 2017.
- [38] C. Oniciuc, *Biharmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds*, An. Ştiinţ. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iaşi. Mat. (N.S.) **48** (2002), no. 2, 237–248.
- [39] Y.-L. Ou and L. Tang, *The generalized Chen’s conjecture on biharmonic submanifolds is false*, arXiv: 1006.1838v1.
- [40] ———, *On the generalized Chen’s conjecture on biharmonic submanifolds*, Michigan Math. J. **61** (2012), no. 3, 531–542.
- [41] T. Sasahara, *Legendre surfaces in Sasakian space forms whose mean curvature vectors are eigenvectors*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **67** (2005), no. 3-4, 285–303.
- [42] ———, *Stability of biharmonic Legendrian submanifolds in Sasakian space forms*, Canad. Math. Bull. **51** (2008), no. 3, 448–459.
- [43] ———, *A class of biminimal Legendrian submanifolds in Sasakian space forms*, Math. Nachr. **287** (2014), no. 1, 79–90.
- [44] R. T. Smith, *The second variation formula for harmonic mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **47** (1975), 229–236.
- [45] T. Takahashi, *Minimal immersions of Riemannian manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **18** (1966), 380–385.
- [46] K. Tsukada, *Eigenvalues of the Laplacian of warped product*, Tokyo J. Math. **3** (1980), no. 1, 131–136.
- [47] H. Urakawa, *Calculus of Variations and Harmonic Maps*, translated from the 1990 Japanese original by the author, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, **132**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1993.
- [48] ———, *CR rigidity of pseudo harmonic maps and pseudo biharmonic maps*, Hokkaido Math. J. **46** (2017), no. 2, 141–187.
- [49] Z.-P. Wang and Y.-L. Ou, *Biharmonic Riemannian submersions from 3-manifolds*, Math. Z. **269** (2011), no. 3-4, 917–925.

HAJIME URAKAWA  
GLOBAL LEARNING CENTER  
TOHOKU UNIVERSITY  
KAWAUCHI 41, SENDAI 980-8576, JAPAN  
Email address: urakawa@math.is.tohoku.ac.jp