

GLOBAL SOLUTIONS FOR THE $\bar{\partial}$ -PROBLEM ON NON PSEUDOCONVEX DOMAINS IN STEIN MANIFOLDS

SAYED SABER

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove basic a priori estimate for the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem on an annulus between two pseudoconvex submanifolds of a Stein manifold. As a corollary of the result, we obtain the global regularity for the $\bar{\partial}$ -problem on the annulus. This is a manifold version of the previous results on pseudoconvex domains.

1. Introduction

Let X be a Stein manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$. Let Ω_1 and Ω_2 be two open pseudoconvex submanifolds with smooth boundary in X such that $\bar{\Omega}_2 \Subset \Omega_1 \Subset X$. Assume that $\Omega = \Omega_1 \setminus \bar{\Omega}_2$. In this paper, we prove the basic a priori estimate for the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem on Ω . Also, we study the global boundary regularity of the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation, $\bar{\partial}u = f$, on Ω . The existence and regularity properties of the solution to the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation are important problems in several complex variables. Our method is to use the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem with weights which was used by Kohn [9], Hörmander [7] to solve the $\bar{\partial}$ -problem on weakly pseudo-convex domains. In the case of an annulus, some of the important known results are the following:

(1) If Ω_1 and Ω_2 are both strictly pseudo-convex and $n \geq 3$, then Ω satisfies condition $z(q)$ and the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem satisfies the subelliptic $\frac{1}{2}$ estimate (see Kohn [9], Hörmander [7] and Folland and Kohn [6]).

(2) If Ω_1 and Ω_2 are pseudoconvex domains with real analytic boundaries in \mathbb{C}^n and $0 < q < n - 1$, then it is proved by Dirridj and Fornaess [5] that the subelliptic estimate holds for the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem on the annulus $\Omega = \Omega_1 \setminus \bar{\Omega}_2$.

(3) If Ω_1 and Ω_2 are pseudoconvex domains with smooth boundaries in \mathbb{C}^n , the closed range property and global boundary regularity for $\bar{\partial}$ were studied by Shaw [12] for $1 \leq q \leq n - 2$ with $n \geq 3$ on the annulus $\Omega = \Omega_1 \setminus \bar{\Omega}_2$. The critical case when $q = n - 1$ was established in Shaw [13].

Received October 15, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32F10, 32W05, 32W10.

Key words and phrases. Stein manifold, $\bar{\partial}$ and $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator, pseudoconvex domains.

(4) Ahn and Zampieri [2], studied the $\bar{\partial}$ -problem on an annulus between an internal p -pseudoconcave and an external q -pseudoconvex domains in \mathbb{C}^n .

(5) If Ω_1 and Ω_2 are two strictly q -convex domains with smooth boundaries in Stein manifold for some bidegree, Khidr and Abdelkader [8] studied global boundary regularity for $\bar{\partial}$ on the annulus $\Omega = \Omega_1 \setminus \bar{\Omega}_2$.

(6) If Ω_1 and Ω_2 are pseudoconvex submanifolds which satisfy property (P), Cho [4] obtained the global boundary regularity for $\bar{\partial}$ on the annulus $\Omega = \Omega_1 \setminus \bar{\Omega}_2$.

(7) If Ω_1 is a weakly q -convex and Ω_2 a weakly $(n - q - 1)$ -convex in an n -dimensional complex manifold X such that $b\Omega_1$ and $b\Omega_2$ satisfy property (P), Saber [11] obtained the global boundary regularity for $\bar{\partial}$ on the annulus $\Omega = \Omega_1 \setminus \bar{\Omega}_2$.

This paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we give the background that are used in the later sections. In Section 3, we prove the basic a priori estimate (3.1). In Section 4, based on the estimate (3.1), one can prove global regularity for $\bar{\partial}$. Moreover, if f is $\bar{\partial}$ -closed (p, q) -form, $0 < q < n - 1$, which is C^∞ on $\bar{\Omega}$, then the canonical solution u of $\bar{\partial}u = f$ is smooth on $\bar{\Omega}$.

2. Background

Let X be a complex manifold of dimension n with a Hermitian metric g . Let $\Omega \Subset X$ be an open submanifold with smooth boundary $b\Omega$ and defining function ρ . Denote by L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n a C^∞ special boundary coordinate chart in a small neighborhood U of $z_0 \in b\Omega$, i.e., $L_i \in T^{1,0}$ and $\langle L_i, L_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ on U with L_i tangential on $U \cap b\Omega$ for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, that is, $L_i(\rho) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ and $L_n(\rho) = 1$. Then $\bar{L}_1, \bar{L}_2, \dots, \bar{L}_n$, the conjugate of L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n , form an orthonormal basis of $T^{0,1}$ on U . The dual basis of $(1, 0)$ forms are $\omega^1, \dots, \omega^n$ with $\omega^n = \partial\rho$. Let $\left(\frac{\partial^2 \rho(z)}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}\right)_{i,j=1}^{n-1}$ be the matrix of the Levi form $\partial\bar{\partial}\rho(z)$ in the complex tangential direction at z . Let $C^\infty(\Omega)$ be the space of C^∞ -function on Ω .

We shall fix the function $\lambda \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ and let t be any nonnegative real number and we write

$$\lambda_{ij} = \langle L_i \wedge \bar{L}_j, \partial\bar{\partial}\lambda \rangle, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Let $C_{p,q}^\infty(X)$ be the space of (p, q) complex-valued differential forms of class C^∞ on X , where $0 \leq p \leq n$, $0 \leq q \leq n$. Then any (p, q) -form $f \in C_{p,q}^\infty(X)$ can be expressed as $f = \sum'_{I,J} f_{I,J} dz^I \wedge d\bar{z}^J$, where $I = (i_1, \dots, i_p)$ and $J = (j_1, \dots, j_q)$

are multiindices and $dz^I = dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_p$, $d\bar{z}^J = d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}_q$. The notation \sum' means the summation over strictly increasing multiindices. Denote by $C_{p,q}^\infty(\bar{\Omega}) = \{f|_{\bar{\Omega}}; f \in C_{p,q}^\infty(X)\}$ the subspace of $C_{p,q}^\infty(\Omega)$ whose elements can be extended smoothly up to the boundary. Let $\mathcal{D}(X)$ be the space of C^∞ -functions with compact support in X . We say that a form $f \in C_{p,q}^\infty(X)$ has compact

support in X if its coefficients belongs to $\mathcal{D}(X)$. The subspace of $C_{p,q}^\infty(X)$ which has compact support in X is denoted by $\mathcal{D}_{p,q}(X)$. For $f \in C_{p,q}^\infty(\Omega)$ and $g \in \mathcal{D}_{p,q-1}(\Omega)$, the formal adjoint operator ϑ of $\bar{\partial} : C_{p,q-1}^\infty(\Omega) \rightarrow C_{p,q}^\infty(\Omega)$, with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, is defined by:

$$\langle \bar{\partial}g, f \rangle = \langle g, \vartheta f \rangle.$$

Thus, ϑ can be expressed by

$$\vartheta f = (-1)^{p-1} \sum'_{I,K} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial f_{I\bar{k}\bar{K}}}{\partial \bar{z}^k} dz^I \wedge d\bar{z}^K, \quad |K| = q-1.$$

Denote by $L^2(\Omega)$ the space of square integrable functions on Ω with respect to the Lebesgue measure in X . For each nonnegative integer s , $W^s(\Omega)$ is the space of all the distributions u in $L^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$D^\alpha u \in L^2(\Omega), \quad |\alpha| \leq s,$$

where α is a multiindex and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_n$. The Sobolev s -norm $\| \cdot \|_{W^s}$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_{W^s} = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq s} |D^\alpha f|^2 dx < \infty.$$

Indeed $W^s(\Omega)$ is the closure of $C^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm $\| \cdot \|_{W^s}$. The closure of $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ with respect to the same topology is denoted by $W_0^s(\Omega)$. The Sobolev norm $\|f\|_{W^{-1}}$ of order -1 for forms f on Ω is defined by

$$\|f\|_{W^{-1}} = \sup_{g \in W_0^1(\Omega)} \frac{|\langle f, g \rangle|}{\|g\|_{W^1}}.$$

The norm $\| \cdot \|_{W^{-1}}$ is weaker than the norm $\| \cdot \|$ in the sense that any sequence of functions which is bounded in the norm $\| \cdot \|$ has a subsequence which is convergent in the norm $\| \cdot \|_{W^{-1}}$. Use $W_{p,q}^s(\Omega)$ to denote the space of (p, q) forms with coefficients in $W^s(\Omega)$.

Denote by $L_{p,q}^2(\Omega)$ the space of (p, q) -forms with coefficients in $L^2(\Omega)$. For $f, g \in L_{p,q}^2(\Omega)$, the inner product $\langle f, g \rangle$ and the norm $\|f\|$ are denoted by:

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\Omega} f \wedge \star \bar{g} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|^2 = \langle f, f \rangle,$$

where \star is the Hodge star operator. For $t \geq 0$, denote by $L_{p,q}^2(\Omega, t\lambda)$ the space of (p, q) -forms with coefficients in $L^2(\Omega)$ with respect to the weighted function $e^{-t\lambda}$. For $f, g \in L_{p,q}^2(\Omega, t\lambda)$, we denote the inner product $\langle f, g \rangle_t$ and the norm $\|f\|_t$ by:

$$\langle f, g \rangle_t = \int_{\Omega} f \wedge \star \bar{g} e^{-t\lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_t^2 = \langle f, f \rangle_t.$$

In that case $\langle f, g \rangle_t$ denotes $\langle f, g \rangle_{t\lambda}$, that is, we use subscripts t instead of $t\lambda$. Note that since λ is bounded on $\bar{\Omega}$, the two norms $\| \cdot \|$ and $\| \cdot \|_t$ are equivalent.

Define a Hermitian form $Q^t(u, u)$ from $\mathcal{D}_{p,q}(\Omega) \times \mathcal{D}_{p,q}(\Omega)$ to \mathbb{C} by

$$Q^t(u, u) = \|\bar{\partial}u\|_t^2 + \|\bar{\partial}_t^*u\|_t^2 + \|u\|_t^2.$$

Let $\bar{\partial} : \text{dom } \bar{\partial} \subset L_{p,q}^2(\Omega, t\lambda) \rightarrow L_{p,q+1}^2(\Omega, t\lambda)$ be the maximal closure of the Cauchy-Riemann operator and $\bar{\partial}_t^*$ be its Hilbert space adjoint. Recall that $\text{dom } \bar{\partial}^* = \text{dom } \bar{\partial}_t^*$. The $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator $N^t = N_{p,q}^t : L_{p,q}^2(\Omega, t\lambda) \rightarrow L_{p,q}^2(\Omega, t\lambda)$, is defined as the inverse of the restriction of \square^t to $(\ker \square^t)^\perp$, where $\square^t = \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}_t^* + \bar{\partial}_t^*\bar{\partial}$ is the weighted Laplace Beltrami operator. The space of the weighted harmonic (p, q) -forms \mathcal{H}_t is defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_t = \{u \in \mathcal{D}_{p,q}(\Omega) : \bar{\partial}u = \bar{\partial}_t^*u = 0\}.$$

3. The basic a priori estimate

In this section, we prove the basic a priori estimate (3.1). The estimate is similar (but weaker) to the basic estimate obtained by Hörmander in [7] on pseudoconvex domains. A complex manifold X is said to be Stein manifold if there exists an exhaustion function $\mu \in C^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ such that $i\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}\mu > 0$ on X .

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a Stein manifold of dimension n . Let Ω_1 and Ω_2 be two open pseudoconvex submanifolds with smooth boundary in X such that $\bar{\Omega}_2 \Subset \Omega_1 \Subset X$. Assume that $\Omega = \Omega_1 \setminus \bar{\Omega}_2$. Let ρ be a defining function of Ω near $b\Omega_1$ and λ be a smooth function on $\bar{\Omega}$ such that $\lambda = \mu$ in a neighborhood of $b\Omega_1$ and $\lambda = -\mu$ in a neighborhood of $b\Omega_2$. Then, for $1 \leq q \leq n-2$, $n \geq 3$, there exists $c, T > 0$ such that for every $t \geq T$ there exists $C_t > 0$ such that*

$$(3.1) \quad t\|u\|_t^2 \leq cQ^t(u, u) + C_t\|u\|_{W^{-1}}^2$$

for $u \in \mathcal{D}_{p,q}(\Omega)$.

Proof. By using a partition of unity $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^m$, $\sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i^2 = 1$, it suffices to prove the estimate (3.1) when u is supported in a small neighborhood U . If $\bar{U} \subset \Omega$, then by the ellipticity of Q^t in the interior of Ω we have

$$\|u\|_{W^1}^2 \leq c'Q^t(u, u) \text{ for } u \in \mathcal{D}_{p,q}(U).$$

Thus by a well-known inequality in Sobolev space (see, for example, Section 4.2 in Straube [14], page 86 and Proposition 3.1 in Shaw [12]; page 261, inequality (3.3)), we have

$$(3.2) \quad \|u\|_t^2 \leq c'\|u\|_{W^1}^2 + C'_t\|u\|_{W^{-1}}^2$$

which imply (3.1), when $\bar{U} \cap b\Omega = \emptyset$ and $u \in \mathcal{D}_{p,q}(U)$.

If u is supported in a neighborhood U of $b\Omega_1$, since Ω is pseudoconvex at $b\Omega_1$ and $\lambda = \mu$ is strongly plurisubharmonic on U (shrink U if necessary). Following Hörmander [7], it follows that

$$t \int_{U \cap \Omega_1} \sum'_{I,J} |u_{I,J}|^2 e^{-t\lambda} dV \leq c'Q^t(u, u)$$

for $c' > 0$ and for $u \in \mathcal{D}_{p,q}(U \cap \Omega_1)$ with $1 \leq q \leq n-1$. Thus, there exists $C'_t > 0$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad t \int_{U \cap \Omega_1} \sum'_{I,J} |u_{I,J}|^2 e^{-t\lambda} dV \leq c' Q^t(u, u) + C'_t \|u\|_{W^{-1}}^2$$

for $u \in \mathcal{D}_{p,q}(U \cap \Omega_1)$ with $1 \leq q \leq n-1$.

Let $S_{\delta_1} = \{z \in X : -\delta_1 < \rho(z) \leq 0\}$, where δ_1 a positive number (depend on t) small enough. Since $b\Omega_1$ is compact, by a finite covering $\{U_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^m$ of $b\Omega_1$ by neighborhoods U_ν as in (3.3), we have

$$(3.4) \quad t \int_{S_{\delta_1}} \sum'_{I,J} |u_{I,J}|^2 e^{-t\lambda} dV \leq c' Q^t(u, u) + C'_t \|u\|_{W^{-1}}^2$$

when u is supported in the strip S_{δ_1} .

Now since Ω is pseudoconcave at $b\Omega_2$. Thus we only have to prove (3.1) when u is supported in a neighborhood U such that $\bar{U} \cap b\Omega_2 \neq \emptyset$. Following Ahn [1], for every integer q with $0 \leq q \leq n-1$, there exists a neighborhood U of z_0 and a suitable positive constant C such that

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2(\|\bar{\partial}u\|_t^2 + \|\bar{\partial}_t^* u\|_t^2) + C\|u\|_t^2 \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum'_{I,J} \left[\sum_{j \geq q+1} \|\bar{L}_j u_{I,J}\|_t^2 + \sum_{j \leq q} \|\delta_j^t u_{I,J}\|_t^2 \right] \\ & \quad + \sum'_{I,K} \sum_{j,k} \int_{U \cap b\Omega_2} \rho_{jk} u_{I,jK} \bar{u}_{I,kK} e^{-t\lambda} dS \\ & \quad - \sum'_{I,J} \sum_{j \leq q} \int_{U \cap b\Omega_2} \rho_{jj} |u_{I,J}|^2 e^{-t\lambda} dS \\ & \quad + \sum'_{I,K} \sum_{j,k} \int_{U \cap \Omega_2} \lambda_{jk} u_{I,jK} \bar{u}_{I,kK} e^{-t\lambda} dV \\ & \quad - \sum'_{I,J} \sum_{j \leq q} \int_{U \cap \Omega_2} \lambda_{jj} u_{I,J} \bar{u}_{I,J} e^{-t\lambda} dV \end{aligned}$$

for $u \in \mathcal{D}_{p,q}(U \cap \Omega_2)$, where $\delta_j^t = e^{t\lambda} L_j(e^{-t\lambda})$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum'_{I,K} \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} \rho_{jk} u_{I,jK} \bar{u}_{I,kK} - \sum'_{I,J} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \rho_{jj} |u_{I,J}|^2 \\ & = \sum'_{I,K} \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} \left(\rho_{jk} - \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \rho_{ll} \delta_{jk} \right) u_{I,jK} \bar{u}_{I,kK}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $(\rho_{jk})_{j,k=1}^{n-1}$ is diagonal, then $\left(\rho_{jk} - \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \rho_{ll} \delta_{jk} \right)_{j,k=1}^{n-1}$ is also diagonal and the diagonal elements are negative value of $n-2$ sums of eigenvalues

of the Levi form. Since Ω is pseudoconcave at $b\Omega_2$. For each $z \in b\Omega_2$, we may diagonalize $(\rho_{jk})_{j,k=1}^{n-1}$ under a unitary transformation and the positive semi-definiteness is invariant under such transformation. Thus

$$\left(\rho_{jk} - \frac{1}{q} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \rho_{jj} \right) \delta_{jk} \right)_{j,k=1}^{n-1}$$

is positive semidefinite in $U \cap b\Omega_2$. Then, for $1 \leq q \leq n-2$, we have

$$(3.6) \quad \sum'_{I,K} \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} \rho_{jk} u_{I,jK} \bar{u}_{I,kK} - \sum'_{I,J} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \rho_{jj} |u_{I,J}|^2 \geq 0 \text{ for each } z \in U \cap b\Omega_2.$$

We write

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum'_{I,K} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \int_{U \cap \Omega_2} \lambda_{jk} u_{I,jK} \bar{u}_{I,kK} e^{-t\lambda} dV \\ & - \sum'_{I,J} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \int_{U \cap \Omega_2} \lambda_{jj} \right) |u_{I,J}|^2 e^{-t\lambda} dV = X_1 + X_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= \sum'_{I,K} \sum_{j=n \text{ or } k=n} \int_{U \cap \Omega_2} \lambda_{jk} u_{I,jK} \bar{u}_{I,kK} e^{-t\lambda} dV \\ & + \sum'_{I,K} \sum_{\substack{j,k=1 \\ n \in K}}^{n-1} \int_{U \cap \Omega_2} \lambda_{jk}(z) u_{I,jK} \bar{u}_{I,kK} e^{-t\lambda} dV \\ & - \sum'_{I,J} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ n \in J}}^{n-1} \int_{U \cap \Omega_2} \lambda_{jj}(z) |u_{I,J}|^2 e^{-t\lambda} dV, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} X_2 &= \sum'_{I,K} \sum_{\substack{j,k=1 \\ n \notin K}}^{n-1} \int_{U \cap \Omega_2} \lambda_{jk}(z) u_{I,jK} \bar{u}_{I,kK} e^{-t\lambda} dV \\ & - \sum'_{I,J} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ n \notin J}}^{n-1} \int_{U \cap \Omega_2} \lambda_{jj}(z) |u_{I,J}|^2 e^{-t\lambda} dV. \end{aligned}$$

Take the coordinate functions z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n about z_0 . Then in z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n coordinates, $A = \left(\frac{\partial^2 \mu}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k} \right) (z_0)$, $1 \leq j, k \leq n-1$ is an Hermitian matrix and there exists a unitary matrix $P = (P_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq 1}$ such that $P^* A P = A$, where

$A = (\lambda_j)_{j=1}^{n-1}$ a diagonal matrix whose entries λ_j are eigenvalues of A . Set

$$\omega_j = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \bar{P}_{kj} z_k, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_n = z_n.$$

Then

$$\lambda_{jk}^2(z_0) = \left(\frac{\partial^2 \mu}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k} \right) (z_0) = \lambda_j \delta_{jk}, \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq n-1.$$

Every term in X_1 has the form $(\lambda_{jk} u_{I,J}, u_{I,L})$, whenever $n \in J$ or $n \in L$. Applying (3.2) to those J containing n , we have

$$|\langle \lambda_{jk} u_{I,J}, u_{I,L} \rangle_t| \leq \|\lambda_{jk} u_{I,J}\|_t \|u_{I,L}\|_t \leq c' \|u_{I,J}\|_{W^1}^2 + C'_t \|u_{I,J}\|_{W^{-1}}^2 + \|u_{I,L}\|_t^2.$$

Thus it follows that

$$X_1 \geq -c' \sum'_{\substack{I,J \\ n \in J}} \|u_{I,J}\|_{W^1}^2 - C'_t \|u\|_{W^{-1}}^2 - \|u\|_t^2.$$

Let

$$R(u, u)(z) = \sum'_{\substack{I,K \\ n \notin K}} \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} \lambda_{jk} u_{I,jK} \bar{u}_{I,kK} - \sum'_{\substack{I,J \\ n \notin J}} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \lambda_{jj}(z) |u_{I,J}|^2.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} R(u, u)(z_0) &= \sum'_{\substack{I,K \\ n \notin K}} \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} \lambda_{jk}(z_0) u_{I,jK} \bar{u}_{I,kK} - \sum'_{\substack{I,J \\ n \notin J}} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \lambda_{jj}(z_0) |u_{I,J}|^2 \\ &= \sum'_{\substack{I,K \\ n \notin K}} \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} \left(- \left(\frac{\partial^2 \mu}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k} \right) (z_0) \right) u_{I,jK} \bar{u}_{I,kK} \\ &\quad - \sum'_{\substack{I,J \\ n \notin J}} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(- \left(\frac{\partial^2 \mu}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_j} \right) (z_0) \right) |u_{I,J}|^2 \\ &= - \sum'_{\substack{I,J \\ j \in J \\ n \notin J}} \lambda_j |u_{I,J}|^2 + \sum'_{\substack{I,J \\ n \notin J}} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \lambda_j |u_{I,J}|^2 \\ &= \sum'_{\substack{I,J \\ j \notin J \\ n \notin J}} \lambda_j |u_{I,J}|^2 \geq d \sum'_{\substack{I,J \\ n \notin J}} |u_{I,J}|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where d the smallest eigenvalues of A at the point $z \in U \cap \bar{\Omega}_2$. Then $d(z) \geq d_0 > 0$ for some positive number d_0 and all $z \in U \cap b\Omega_2$. Thus for $n \geq 3$ and

$0 < q < n-1$, if we shrink U sufficiently, by continuity of the second derivatives of λ , we have

$$X_2 \geq d_0 \sum'_{\substack{I,J \\ n \notin J}} \|u_{I,J}\|_t^2.$$

Then we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum'_{I,K} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \int_{U \cap \Omega_2} \lambda_{jk} u_{I,jK} \bar{u}_{I,kK} e^{-t\lambda} dV \\ & - \sum'_{I,J} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \int_{U \cap \Omega_2} \lambda_{jj} \right) |u_{I,J}|^2 e^{-t\lambda} dV \\ & \geq d_0 \sum'_{\substack{I,J \\ n \notin J}} \|u_{I,J}\|_t^2 - c' \sum'_{\substack{I,J \\ n \in J}} \|u_{I,J}\|_{W^1}^2 - C'_t \|u\|_{W^{-1}}^2 - \|u\|_t^2. \end{aligned}$$

By substituting (3.6) and (3.7) into (3.5), we obtain

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2 \left(\|\bar{\partial}u\|_t^2 + \|\bar{\partial}_t^* u\|_t^2 \right) + C \|u\|_t^2 + C'_t \|u\|_{W^{-1}}^2 \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum'_{I,J} \left[\|\bar{L}_n u_{I,J}\|_t^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n \|\delta_j^t u_{I,J}\|_t^2 \right] \\ & + d_0 \sum'_{\substack{I,J \\ n \notin J}} \|u_{I,J}\|_t^2 - c' \sum'_{\substack{I,J \\ n \in J}} \|u_{I,J}\|_{W^1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

If $j = n$ or $k = n$ we have $u_{I,jK} = 0$ or $u_{I,kK} = 0$ on the boundary. Since $u_{I,J}$ vanishes on the boundary when $n \in J$, by performing the same manipulation as (4.3.6) in Chen and Shaw [3], we have

$$\|\bar{L}_j u_{I,J}\|_t^2 = \|\delta_j^t u_{I,J}\|_t^2 - \langle \lambda_{jj} u_{I,J}, u_{I,J} \rangle_t + O(\|\bar{L}u_{I,J}\|_t \|u_{I,J}\|_t),$$

where $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Using the inequality (3.2), we have for $n \in J$

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u_{I,J}\|_{W^1}^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^n \|\bar{L}_j u_{I,J}\|_t^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n \|\delta_j^t u_{I,J}\|_t^2 + \|u_{I,J}\|_t^2 \\ &\leq 4(\|\bar{L}_n u_{I,J}\|_t^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \|\delta_j^t u_{I,J}\|_t^2) + C'_t \|u_{I,J}\|_{W^{-1}}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant depending only on t . By combining (3.8) and (3.9) we easily obtain

$$(3.10) \quad 4Q^t(u, u) + C'_t \|u\|_{W^{-1}}^2 \geq \left(\frac{1}{4} - c' \right) \sum'_{\substack{I,J \\ n \in J}} \|u_{I,J}\|_{W^1}^2 + d_0 \sum'_{\substack{I,J \\ n \notin J}} \|u_{I,J}\|_t^2.$$

By an interpolation theorem in Sobolev space for $n \in J$, we have

$$\|u_{I,J}\|_t^2 \leq t^{-1} \|u_{I,J}\|_{W^1}^2 + C'_t \|u_{I,J}\|_{W^{-1}}^2$$

to those J containing n and put into (3.10), we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad t \int_{U \cap \Omega_2} \sum'_{I,J} |u_{I,J}|^2 e^{-t\lambda} dV \leq c' Q^t(u, u) + C'_t \|u\|_{W^{-1}}^2$$

for $u \in \mathcal{D}_{p,q}(U \cap \Omega_2)$ with $1 \leq q \leq n-1$.

Let $S_{\delta_2} = \{z \in X : 0 \leq \rho(z) < \delta_2\}$, where δ_2 a positive number (depend on t) small enough. Since $b\Omega_2$ is compact, by a finite covering $\{U_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^m$ of $b\Omega_2$ by neighborhoods U_ν as in (3.11), we have

$$(3.12) \quad t \int_{S_{\delta_2}} \sum'_{I,J} |u_{I,J}|^2 e^{-t\lambda} dV \leq c' Q^t(u, u) + C'_t \|u\|_{W^{-1}}^2$$

when u is supported in the strip S_{δ_2} .

Let $S_\delta = S_{\delta_1} \cup S_{\delta_2}$, where $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$. Then by using (3.4) and (3.12), we obtain

$$(3.13) \quad t \int_{S_\delta} |u_{I,J}|^2 e^{-t\lambda} dV \leq c' Q^t(u, u) + C'_t \|u\|_{W^{-1}}^2.$$

Now, we estimate the integral over $\Omega \setminus S_\delta$. Choose $\gamma_\delta \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ so that $\gamma_\delta(z) = 1$ whenever $\rho(z) \leq -\delta$ and $z \in \Omega \setminus S_\delta$. By an interpolation theorem in Sobolev space, we have for a constant $s > 0$ still to be determined we have the inequality

$$\|\gamma_\delta u\|_t^2 \leq s \|\gamma_\delta u\|_{W^1}^2 + \frac{1}{s} \|\gamma_\delta u\|_{W^{-1}}^2.$$

On the other hand, since Q^t is elliptic, by Gårding's inequality, there is a constant C_2 depending only on the diameter of the domain Ω such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma_\delta u\|_{W^1}^2 &\leq C_2 (Q^t(\gamma_\delta u, \gamma_\delta u) + \|\gamma_\delta u\|_t^2) \\ &\leq 2C_2 \left(\|\gamma_\delta(\bar{\partial}u)\|_t^2 + \|\gamma_\delta(\bar{\partial}^*u)\|_t^2 + \|[\gamma_\delta, \bar{\partial}]u\|_t^2 + \|[\gamma_\delta, \bar{\partial}^*]u\|_t^2 + \|\gamma_\delta u\|_t^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since the sum of the commutator terms is bounded by $C_3 \|u\|^2$ for some constant C_3 dependent of δ , we obtain the inequality

$$(3.14) \quad \|\gamma_\delta u\|_t^2 \leq 2C_2 s Q^t(u, u) + 2C_2 C_3 s \|u\|_t^2 + \frac{1}{s} \|u\|_{W^{-1}}^2.$$

By combining (3.13) and (3.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} t \|u\|_t^2 &\leq t \int_{S_\delta} |u|_t^2 dV + t \|\gamma_\delta u\|_t^2 \\ &\leq C_1 Q^t(u, u) + C'_\varepsilon \|u\|_{W^{-1}}^2 + 2C_2 s t Q^t(u, u) \\ &\quad + 2C_2 C_3 s t \|u\|_t^2 + \frac{t}{s} \|u\|_{W^{-1}}^2 \\ &= (C_1 + 2C_2 s t) Q^t(u, u) + 2C_2 C_3 s t \|u\|_t^2 + (C'_\varepsilon + \frac{t}{s}) \|u\|_{W^{-1}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we choose small s and large t so that $2C_2C_3s < \frac{1}{2}$ and so that $\frac{C_1}{t} + 2C_2s < \frac{c}{2}$. Then, we obtain the estimate

$$\|u\|_t^2 \leq cQ^t(u, u) + C_t \|u\|_{W^{-1}}^2,$$

where $C_t = 2(\frac{C_1}{t} + \frac{1}{s})$. \square

Remark 3.1. It is easy to observe that (3.1) implies:

$$t \|u\|_t^2 \leq cQ^t(u, u) + C_t \|u\|_{W^{-1}}^2$$

for $u \in \text{Dom}(\square)$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let Ω be an "annulus" as in Theorem 3.1 with smooth boundary. Let $\{U_j\}_{j=1}^N$ be a finite covering of $b\Omega$ by a local patching. If a basic a priori estimate (3.1) hold in each U_j :*

$$t \|u\|_t^2 \leq cQ^t(u, u) + C_t \|u\|_{W^{-1}}^2$$

for $u \in C_{p,q}^\infty(\bar{\Omega} \cap U_j) \cap \text{dom} \bar{\partial}_t^*$. Then we have global basic a priori estimate (3.1).

Proof. Let $\{\zeta_j\}_{j=0}^N$ be a partition of the unity such that $\zeta_0 \in \mathcal{D}_{p,q}(\Omega)$, $\zeta_j \in \mathcal{D}_{p,q}(U_j)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ and $\sum_{j=0}^N \zeta_j^2 = 1$ on $\bar{\Omega}$. where $\{U_j\}_{j=1, \dots, N}$ is a covering of $b\Omega$.

For $u \in \mathcal{D}_{p,q}(\Omega)$ we wish to prove (3.1). From the interior elliptic regularity of $Q^t(u, u)$ we have

$$\|\zeta_0 u\|_{W^1}^2 \leq Q^t(\zeta_0 u, \zeta_0 u).$$

On the other hand, by an interpolation theorem in Sobolev space, we have

$$\|\zeta_0 u\|_t^2 \lesssim c \|\zeta_0 u\|_{W^1}^2 + C_t \|\zeta_0 u\|_{W^{-1}}^2.$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|\zeta_0 u\|_t^2 &\lesssim cQ^t(\zeta_0 u, \zeta_0 u) + C_t \|\zeta_0 u\|_{W^{-1}}^2 \\ &\lesssim cQ^t(u, u) + C_t \|u\|_{W^{-1}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $j = 1, \dots, N$, using the hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\zeta_j u\|_t^2 &\lesssim cQ^t(\zeta_j u, \zeta_j u) + C_t \|\zeta_j u\|_{W^{-1}}^2 \\ &\lesssim cQ^t(u, u) + C_t \|u\|_{W^{-1}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up over j , we get the proof of the lemma. \square

4. Global regularity up to the boundary

As an immediate consequence of the basic estimate (3.1) is the following results:

Lemma 4.1. *Let Ω be an “annulus” as in Theorem 3.1 with smooth boundary. Then, for a sufficiently large t and for $1 \leq q \leq n - 2$, $n \geq 3$, we have*

- (1) \mathcal{H}_t is finite dimensional.
- (2) The Laplacian \square^t has closed range in $L^2_{p,q}(\Omega)$.
- (3) The operator $\bar{\partial}$ has closed range in $L^2_{p,q}(\Omega)$ and $L^2_{p,q+1}(\Omega)$.
- (4) The operator $\bar{\partial}^*$ has closed range in $L^2_{p,q}(\Omega)$ and $L^2_{p,q-1}(\Omega)$.
- (5) There exists $C > 0$ such that for all $u \in \mathcal{D}^{p,q}(\Omega)$ with $u \perp \mathcal{H}_t$, we have

$$(4.1) \quad \|u\|_t^2 \leq C(\|\bar{\partial}u\|_t^2 + \|\vartheta_t u\|_t^2).$$

Proof. Inequality (3.1) implies that from every sequence $\{u_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$ in $\text{dom } \bar{\partial} \cap \text{dom } \bar{\partial}_t^*$ with $\|u_\nu\|_t$ bounded and $\bar{\partial}u_\nu \rightarrow 0$, $\bar{\partial}_t^*u_\nu \rightarrow 0$, one can extract a subsequence which converges in (weighted) $L^2_{p,q}(\Omega)$. It suffices to find a subsequence which converges in $W_{p,q}^{-1}(\Omega)$ (using that $L^2_{p,q}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W_{p,q}^{-1}(\Omega)$ is compact); (3.1) implies that such a subsequence is Cauchy (hence convergent) in $L^2_{p,q}(\Omega)$. General Hilbert space theory (Hörmander [7]; Theorems 1.1.3 and 1.1.2) now gives that \mathcal{H}_t is finite dimensional and that $\bar{\partial} : L^2_{p,q}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2_{p,q+1}(\Omega)$ and $\bar{\partial}_t^* : L^2_{p,q}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2_{p,q-1}(\Omega)$ have closed range.

To prove (4.1), we assume that (4.1) does not hold and deduce a contradiction. If for every $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a $u_\nu \perp \mathcal{H}_t$, then $\|u_\nu\|_t = 1$ such that

$$(4.2) \quad \|u_\nu\|_t^2 \geq \nu(\|\bar{\partial}u_\nu\|_t^2 + \|\vartheta_t u_\nu\|_t^2).$$

Combining this and (3.1), we have

$$\|u_\nu\|_t^2 \leq C_t \|u_\nu\|_{W^{-1}}^2$$

which implies u_ν converges in L^2 to u where $u \perp \mathcal{H}_t$. By (4.2) we have that $u \in \mathcal{H}_t$, a contradiction. Thus (4.1) must hold for all $u \perp \mathcal{H}_t$. \square

As an immediate consequence of the basic estimate (4.1) are the following theorems whose proof can be found in Hörmander [7].

Theorem 4.2. *Let Ω be an “annulus” as in Theorem 3.1 with smooth boundary. Then, for $1 \leq q \leq n - 2$, $n \geq 3$, the range of \square^t is closed and there exists a bounded linear operator N^t for sufficiently large $t > 0$ satisfies the following properties:*

- (i) $\text{range}(N^t) \subset \text{dom}(\square^t)$, $N^t \square^t = I$ on $\text{dom}(\square^t)$,
- (ii) for $f \in L^2_{p,q}(\Omega)$, we have $u = \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}_t^* N^t f \oplus \bar{\partial}_t^* \bar{\partial} N^t f$,
- (iii) $\bar{\partial} N^t = N^t \bar{\partial}$, and $\bar{\partial}_t^* N^t = N^t \bar{\partial}_t^*$,
- (iv) for all $f \in L^2_{p,q}(\Omega)$, we have the estimates

$$\|N^t f\|_t \leq c \|f\|_t,$$

$$\|\bar{\partial} N^t f\|_t + \|\bar{\partial}_t^* N^t f\|_t \leq \sqrt{c} \|f\|_t.$$

- (v) If $f \in \ker(\square^t)$, then $\bar{\partial}_t^* N^t f$ gives the solution u_t to the equation $\bar{\partial} u_t = f$ of minimal $u_t \in L^2_{p,q-1}(\Omega)$ -norm.

(vi) If $f \in \ker(\square_t)$, then $\bar{\partial}N^t f$ gives the solution u_t to the equation $\bar{\partial}_t^* u_t = f$ of minimal $u_t \in L^2_{p,q+1}(\Omega)$ -norm.

By Theorem 4.2(ii) and the density of $C^\infty_{p,q}(\bar{\Omega})$ in $W^s_{p,q}(\Omega)$, the following is immediate.

Theorem 4.3. *Let Ω be an “annulus” as in Theorem 3.1 with smooth boundary. If $f \in C^\infty_{p,q}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $1 \leq q \leq n-2$, $n \geq 3$ and $N^t f \in C^\infty_{p,q}(\bar{\Omega})$, then for any nonnegative integer s there exist constants C_s and T_s such that*

$$(4.3) \quad \|N^t f\|_{W^s} \leq C_s \|f\|_{W^s}, \text{ for every } t > T_s.$$

Proof. The proof is the same as in [9]. \square

Using the elliptic regularization method which was used in [9], one can pass from the a priori estimates (3.1) to actual estimates and we can prove the following theorem:

Theorem 4.4. *Let Ω be an “annulus” as in Theorem 3.1 with smooth boundary. For every integer $s \geq 0$ and real $t > T > 0$ the weighted $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator N^t is bounded from $W^s_{p,q}(\Omega)$ into itself for $1 \leq q \leq n-2$, $n \geq 3$.*

By Theorem 4.3 and the density of $C^\infty_{p,q}(\bar{\Omega})$ in $W^s_{p,q}(\Omega)$, the following is immediate.

Corollary 1. *Let Ω be an “annulus” as in Theorem 3.1 with smooth boundary. If $f \in W^s_{p,q}(\Omega)$, $s = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ satisfies $\bar{\partial}f = 0$, where $1 \leq q \leq n-2$, $n \geq 3$, then there exists $u \in W^s_{p,q-1}(\Omega)$ so that $\bar{\partial}u = f$ on Ω with estimate*

$$\|u\|_{W^s} \leq C_s \|f\|_{W^s}.$$

Theorem 4.5. *Let Ω be an “annulus” as in Theorem 3.1 with smooth boundary. Then, for $f \in C^\infty_{p,q}(\bar{\Omega})$, with $\bar{\partial}f = 0$, $1 \leq q \leq n-2$, $n \geq 3$, there exists $u \in C^\infty_{p,q-1}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $\bar{\partial}u = f$.*

Proof. The proof is the same as in [10]. \square

References

- [1] H. Ahn, *Global boundary regularity for the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation on q -pseudoconvex domains*, Math. Nachr. **280** (2007), no. 4, 343–350.
- [2] H. Ahn and G. Zampieri, *Global regularity of $\bar{\partial}$ on an annulus between a Q -pseudoconvex and a P -pseudoconcave boundary*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **6** (2010), no. 3, 647–661.
- [3] S.-C. Chen and M.-C. Shaw, *Partial Differential Equations in Several Complex Variables*, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math. 19, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 2001.
- [4] H. R. Cho, *Global regularity of the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann problem on an annulus between two pseudoconvex manifolds which satisfy property (P)*, Manuscripta Math. **90** (1996), no. 4, 437–448.
- [5] M. Dirridj and J. E. Fornæss, *Subelliptic estimate for the d -Neumann problem*, Duke Math. J. **48** (1981), no. 1, 93–107.
- [6] G. B. Folland and J. J. Kohn, *The Neumann problem for the Cauchy-Riemann complex*, Ann. of Math. Stud. 75, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1972.

- [7] L. Hörmander, *L^2 estimates and existence theorems for the $\bar{\partial}$ operator*, Acta Math. **113** (1965), 89–152.
- [8] S. Khidr and O. Abdelkader, *Global regularity and L^p estimate for $\bar{\partial}$ on an annulus between two strictly pseudoconvex domains in a Stein manifold*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **351** (2013), no. 23-24, 883–888.
- [9] J. J. Kohn, *Global regularity for $\bar{\partial}$ on weakly pseudo-convex manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **181** (1973), 273–292.
- [10] S. Saber, *The $\bar{\partial}$ -problem on q -pseudoconvex domains with applications*, Math. Slovaca **63** (2013), no. 3, 521–530.
- [11] ———, *Global regularity for $\bar{\partial}$ on an annulus between two weakly convex domains which satisfy property (P)*, submitted.
- [12] M.-C. Shaw, *Global solvability and regularity for $\bar{\partial}$ on an annulus between two weakly pseudoconvex domains*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **291** (1985), no. 1, 255–267.
- [13] ———, *The closed range property for $\bar{\partial}$ on domains with pseudoconcave boundary*, Complex analysis, 307-320, Trends Math., Birkhuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2010.
- [14] E. J. Straube, *Lectures on the L^2 -Sobolev Theory of the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann Problem*, ESI Lectures in Mathematics and Physics, February, 2010.

SAYED SABER
MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
BENI-SUEF UNIVERSITY
EGYPT
E-mail address: sayedkay@yahoo.com