https://doi.org/10.4134/JKMS.j210371 pISSN: 0304-9914 / eISSN: 2234-3008

# GOLDBACH-LINNIK TYPE PROBLEMS WITH UNEQUAL POWERS OF PRIMES

Li Zhu

ABSTRACT. It is proved that every sufficiently large even integer can be represented as a sum of two squares of primes, two cubes of primes, two fourth powers of primes and 17 powers of 2.

#### 1. Introduction

In the 1950s, Linnik [7,8] proved that every sufficiently large even integer can be represented as a sum of two primes and K powers of 2, where K is an absolute constant. In 1975, Gallagher [1] established an asymptotic formula for the number of such representations. Based on the work of Gallagher [1], Liu, Liu and Wang [10] first established the explicit value of K and showed that K = 54000 is acceptable. Afterwards, the value of K was improved by many authors (see [3,5,6,11,13,17]). The best result so far is due to Pintz and Ruzsa [14], who proved that K = 8 is acceptable.

In 2017, motivated by the works of Linnik [7,8] and Gallagher [1], Liu [9] considered the problem on the representation of the large even integer N in the form

$$(1.1) N = p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^3 + p_4^3 + p_5^4 + p_6^4 + 2^{v_1} + \dots + 2^{v_k},$$

where  $p_i$  are prime numbers and  $v_j$  are positive integers. He proved that (1.1) is solvable for k=41. In 2019, by employing the techniques in Zhao [18], Lü [12] improved the value of k to 24. Very recently, motivated by Platt and Trudgian [15], Zhao [19] refined Lü's result and showed that k=22 is acceptable.

In this paper, by improving the estimates for the singular series and the related integral over the minor arcs, we can obtain the following sharper result:

**Theorem 1.** Every sufficiently large even integer is a sum of two squares of primes, two cubes of primes, two fourth powers of primes and 17 powers of 2.

Received June 1, 2021; Revised August 30, 2021; Accepted December 6, 2021. 2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11P05, 11P55.

Key words and phrases. Waring-Goldbach problem, Hardy-Littlewood method.

This work was supported by The National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 11771333).

#### 2. Notation and outline of the method

In this paper, we assume that N is a sufficiently large even integer. We fix a positive constant  $\eta$  satisfying  $\eta \leq 10^{-100}$ . Let  $\varepsilon$  be an arbitrarily small positive number where the value of  $\varepsilon$  may change from line to line. The letter p, with or without subscript, is reserved for a prime number. We use  $e(\alpha)$  to denote  $e^{2\pi i\alpha}$ . As usual,  $\varphi(n)$  stands for Euler's function and d(n) denotes the number of divisors of n.

We plan to investigate the sum

(2.1) 
$$\mathcal{R}(k,N) = \sum_{\substack{N=p_1^2+p_2^2+p_3^3+p_4^3+p_5^4+p_6^4+2^{v_1}+\dots+2^{v_k}\\ \frac{p_2}{2} \le p_1, p_2 \le p_2, \frac{p_3}{2} \le p_3, p_4 \le P_3, \\ \frac{p_4}{2} \le p_5, p_6 \le P_4, 1 \le v_1, \dots, v_k \le L} (\log p_1) \cdots (\log p_6),$$

where

(2.2) 
$$P_2 = \sqrt{(1-\eta)N}, P_3 = \left(\frac{\eta N}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}, P_4 = \left(\frac{\eta N}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

and

$$L = \frac{\log(N/\log N)}{\log 2}.$$

The exponents of  $P_j$  are natural, since the summation (2.1) is solvable when  $p_1, p_2 \leq N^{\frac{1}{2}}, p_3, p_4 \leq N^{\frac{1}{3}}$  and  $p_5, p_6 \leq N^{\frac{1}{4}}$ . In order to apply the circle method, we set

$$S_i(\alpha) = \sum_{\frac{P_i}{2} \le p \le P_i} e(p^i \alpha) \log p, \ \ H(\alpha) = \sum_{1 \le v \le L} e(2^v \alpha).$$

As in [9], let

(2.3) 
$$Q_1 = N^{\frac{3}{20} - 2\varepsilon}, \ Q_2 = N^{\frac{17}{20} + \varepsilon}.$$

Then we can define the major arcs  ${\mathfrak M}$  and the minor arcs  ${\mathfrak m}$  as

$$\mathfrak{M} = \bigcup_{q \leq Q_1} \bigcup_{\stackrel{a=1}{(a,q)=1}}^q \mathfrak{M}(q,a), \ \ \mathfrak{M}(q,a) = \left(\frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{qQ_2}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{qQ_2}\right],$$
 
$$\mathfrak{m} = \left[\frac{1}{Q_2}, 1 + \frac{1}{Q_2}\right] \backslash \mathfrak{M}.$$

By orthogonality, we get

$$\mathcal{R}(k,N) = \int_0^1 S_2(\alpha)^2 S_3(\alpha)^2 S_4(\alpha)^2 H(\alpha)^k e(-N\alpha) d\alpha$$

$$= \left(\int_{\mathfrak{M}} + \int_{\mathfrak{m}}\right) S_2(\alpha)^2 S_3(\alpha)^2 S_4(\alpha)^2 H(\alpha)^k e(-N\alpha) d\alpha$$

$$= I(k,\mathfrak{M},N) + I(k,\mathfrak{m},N),$$
(2.5)

where

(2.6) 
$$I(k, \mathfrak{X}, N) = \int_{\mathfrak{X}} S_2(\alpha)^2 S_3(\alpha)^2 S_4(\alpha)^2 H(\alpha)^k e(-N\alpha) d\alpha.$$

In the following sections, we shall prove

$$(2.7) I(k,\mathfrak{M},N) > 0.0295049P_3^2P_4^2L^k.$$

$$(2.8) |I(k, \mathfrak{m}, N)| \le 0.58814u^k P_3^2 P_4^2 L^k + O(P_3^2 P_4^2 L^{k-1}),$$

where u = 0.833783.

### 3. The lower bound for $I(k, \mathfrak{M}, N)$

The purpose of this section is to obtain the lower bound for  $I(k, \mathfrak{M}, N)$ . We first state some auxiliary results. Let

$$\begin{split} C_{j}(q,a) &= \sum_{\substack{m=1\\(m,q)=1}}^{q} e\left(\frac{am^{j}}{q}\right), \\ B(n,q) &= \sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,q)=1}}^{q} C_{2}^{2}(q,a)C_{3}^{2}(q,a)C_{4}^{2}(q,a)e\left(-\frac{an}{q}\right), \\ A(n,q) &= \frac{B(n,q)}{\varphi^{6}(q)}, \quad \mathfrak{S}(n) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} A(n,q), \\ \mathfrak{J}(n) &= \sum_{\substack{m_{1}+\dots+m_{6}=n\\(\frac{P_{2}}{2})^{2} \leq m_{1}, m_{2} \leq P_{2}^{2}, \, \left(\frac{P_{3}}{2}\right)^{3} \leq m_{3}, m_{4} \leq P_{3}^{3}, \\ \left(\frac{P_{4}}{2}\right)^{4} \leq m_{5}, m_{6} \leq P_{4}^{4}} \end{split}$$

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be defined as (2.4) with  $Q_1, Q_2$  determined by (2.3). Then for  $(1 - \eta)N \leq n \leq N$ , we have

(3.1) 
$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}} S_2(\alpha)^2 S_3(\alpha)^2 S_4(\alpha)^2 e(-n\alpha) d\alpha = \frac{1}{2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 4^2} \mathfrak{S}(n) \mathfrak{J}(n) + O\left(N^{\frac{7}{6}} L^{-1}\right).$$

Here  $\mathfrak{S}(n) \gg 1$  for  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  and  $N^{\frac{7}{6}} \ll \mathfrak{J}(n) \ll N^{\frac{7}{6}}$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $Q_1, Q_2$  are selected as the same values as in [9]. Therefore, the desired conclusion follows from [9, Lemma 2.1].

**Lemma 3.2.** When (a, p) = 1, we have

(i) 
$$|C_j(p,a)| \le (j-1)p^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1$$
,

(ii) 
$$C_3(p, a) = -1$$
 if  $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ .

*Proof.* For (i), see [16, Lemma 4.3]. For (ii), note that  $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  and (a, p) = 1. Then it follows from [16, Lemma 4.3] that

$$\sum_{x=1}^{p} e\left(\frac{ax^3}{p}\right) = 0.$$

Hence

$$C_3(p,a) = \sum_{x=1}^{p-1} e\left(\frac{ax^3}{p}\right) = -1.$$

Lemma 3.3. We have

$$\prod_{p>11} (1 + A(n,p)) \ge 0.902346.$$

*Proof.* For  $11 \le p \le 199$ , we can directly calculate  $\min_{1 \le n \le p} (1 + A(n, p))$  on PC and obtain that

 $1+A(n,11) \ge 0.999503, \ 1+A(n,13) \ge 0.925347, \dots, 1+A(n,199) \ge 0.9999997.$ 

Thus

(3.2) 
$$\prod_{11 \le p \le 199} (1 + A(n, p)) \ge 0.916851.$$

For  $199 , if <math>p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  and (a, p) = 1, then we can deduce from Lemma 3.2(i) and (ii) that

If  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , then it follows from Lemma 3.2(i) that

$$(3.4) 1 + A(n,p) \ge 1 - \frac{(\sqrt{p}+1)^2(2\sqrt{p}+1)^2(3\sqrt{p}+1)^2}{(p-1)^5}.$$

Combining (3.3)-(3.4), we can deduce from numerical calculation that

$$\prod_{\substack{199 0.98425 \times 0.999989 > 0.984239.$$

For  $p > 10^5$ , it follows from [9, Section 3, p. 443] that

(3.6) 
$$\prod_{p>10^5} (1+A(n,p)) \ge \prod_{p>10^5} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\right)^{37} \ge 0.99994.$$

Now, we can conclude from (3.2) and (3.5)-(3.6) that

(3.7) 
$$\prod_{p>11} (1 + A(n,p)) \ge 0.916851 \times 0.984239 \times 0.99994 \ge 0.902346.$$

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $\Xi(N,k) = \{(1-\eta)N \le n \le N : n = N - 2^{v_1} - \dots - 2^{v_k}, 1 \le v_1, \dots, v_k \le L\}$ . Then for  $k \ge 17$  and  $N \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , we have

(3.8) 
$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \Xi(N,k) \\ n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}}} \mathfrak{S}(n) \ge 1.80321L^k.$$

*Proof.* Since A(n,q) is multiplicative and  $A(n,p^j)=0$  for  $j\geq 2$  (see [9, (3.3)]), we have

(3.9) 
$$\mathfrak{S}(n) = \prod_{p \ge 2} (1 + A(n, p)).$$

Set C = 0.902346. Then by applying Lemma 3.3, we can get

(3.10) 
$$\mathfrak{S}(n) = \prod_{2 \le p \le 7} (1 + A(n, p)) \prod_{11 \le p} (1 + A(n, p))$$
$$\ge C \prod_{2 \le p \le 7} (1 + A(n, p)).$$

Note that 1+A(n,2)=2 for  $n\equiv 0\pmod 2$ . Then for  $q=\prod_{3\leq p\leq 7}p=105,$  we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \Xi(N,k) \\ n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}}} \mathfrak{S}(n) \ge 2C \sum_{\substack{n \in \Xi(N,k) \\ n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}}} \prod_{\substack{3 \le p \le 7}} (1 + A(n,p))$$

$$= 2C \sum_{1 \le j \le q} \sum_{\substack{n \in \Xi(N,k) \\ n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ n \equiv j \pmod{q}}} \prod_{\substack{3 \le p \le 7}} (1 + A(n,p))$$

$$= 2C \sum_{1 \le j \le q} \prod_{\substack{n \in \Xi(N,k) \\ n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ n \equiv j \pmod{q}}} (1 + A(j,p)) \sum_{\substack{n \in \Xi(N,k) \\ n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ n \equiv j \pmod{q}}} 1.$$

Let S denote the innermost sum in (3.11). Noting that  $N \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , we have

$$S = \sum_{\substack{n \in \Xi(N,k) \\ n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ n \equiv j \pmod{q}}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{1 \le v_1, \dots, v_k \le L \\ N - 2^{v_1} - \dots - 2^{v_k} \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ N - 2^{v_1} - \dots - 2^{v_k} \equiv j \pmod{q}}} 1$$

(3.12) 
$$= \sum_{\substack{1 \le v_1, \dots, v_k \le L \\ 2^{v_1} + \dots + 2^{v_k} \equiv N - j \pmod{q}}} 1.$$

Let  $\rho(q)$  denote the smallest positive integer  $\rho$  such that  $2^{\rho} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ . Thus

$$S = \left(\frac{L}{\rho(q)} + O(1)\right)^k \sum_{\substack{1 \le v_1, \dots, v_k \le \rho(q) \\ 2^{v_1} + \dots + 2^{v_k} \equiv N - j \pmod{q}}} 1$$

$$(3.13) \qquad = \left(\frac{L}{\rho(q)} + O(1)\right)^k \frac{1}{q} \sum_{r=1}^q e\left(\frac{r(j-N)}{q}\right) \left(\sum_{1 \le v \le \rho(q)} e\left(\frac{r2^v}{q}\right)\right)^k.$$

Since q = 105, we can get  $\rho(q) = 12$ . Write  $f(r) = \left| \sum_{1 \le v \le \rho(q)} e\left(\frac{r2^v}{q}\right) \right|$ . With the help of a computer, it is easy to check that

(3.14) 
$$\max_{1 \le r < q-1} f(r) = f(7) = 6 \text{ and } f(q) = \rho(q) = 12.$$

Therefore, we can get

$$S \ge \left(\frac{L}{\rho(q)} + O(1)\right)^k \frac{1}{q} \left(\rho^k(q) - (q-1) \left(\max_{1 \le r < q-1} f(r)\right)^k\right)$$

$$\ge \frac{L^k}{q} \left(1 - (q-1) \left(\frac{\max_{1 \le r < q-1} f(r)}{\rho(q)}\right)^k\right) + O(L^{k-1})$$

$$\ge \frac{L^k}{105} \left(1 - 104 \times \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{17}\right) + O(L^{k-1}) \ge 0.009516L^k,$$

where the bound  $k \ge 17$  is used. Combining (3.11) and (3.15), we obtain

(3.16) 
$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \Xi(N,k) \\ n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}}} \mathfrak{S}(n) \ge 2C \times 0.009516L^k \sum_{1 \le j \le q} \prod_{3 \le p \le 7} (1 + A(j,p)).$$

On considering the facts  $q = 3 \times 5 \times 7$  and  $A(j, p) = A(j_1, p)$  for  $j \equiv j_1 \pmod{p}$ , we have

$$\sum_{1 \le j \le q} \prod_{3 \le p \le 7} (1 + A(j, p))$$

$$= \sum_{1 \le j \le q} (1 + A(j, 3))(1 + A(j, 5))(1 + A(j, 7))$$

$$= \sum_{1 \le j, \le 3} \sum_{1 \le j, \le 5} \sum_{1 \le j, \le 7} (1 + A(j_1, 3))(1 + A(j_2, 5))(1 + A(j_3, 7))$$

(3.17) 
$$= \prod_{3 \le p \le 7} \left( \sum_{1 \le j \le p} (1 + A(j, p)) \right).$$

Moreover, from the definition of A(j, p), we have

$$\sum_{1 \le j \le p} (1 + A(j, p))$$

$$= p + \sum_{1 \le j \le p} \frac{1}{(p - 1)^6} \sum_{1 \le a \le p - 1} C_2^2(p, a) C_3^2(p, a) C_4^2(p, a) e\left(-\frac{aj}{p}\right)$$

$$= p + \frac{1}{(p - 1)^6} \sum_{1 \le a \le p - 1} C_2^2(p, a) C_3^2(p, a) C_4^2(p, a) \sum_{1 \le j \le p} e\left(-\frac{aj}{p}\right)$$

$$(3.18) = p,$$

where the bound  $\sum_{1\leq j\leq p}e(-\frac{aj}{p})=0$  is used in the last step. Now we can conclude from (3.16)-(3.18) that

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in \Xi(N,k) \\ n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}}} \mathfrak{S}(n) \ge 2C \times 0.009516L^k \prod_{3 \le p \le 7} \left( \sum_{1 \le j \le p} (1 + A(j,p)) \right)$$

$$= 2C \times 0.009516L^k \prod_{3 \le p \le 7} p \ge 1.80321L^k.$$

We remark that the primary role of taking  $q=\prod\limits_{3< p\le 7}p$  is to deduce (3.11) and (3.17). It is easy to verify that taking  $q=\prod\limits_{3\le p\le 7}p$  is the optimal choice. Changing the number of primes contained in q will reduce the lower bound in (3.8).

**Lemma 3.5.** For  $(1 - \eta)N \le n \le N$ , we have

$$\mathfrak{J}(n) > (3\pi - 180\eta)P_3^2 P_4^2.$$

Proof. This is [12, Lemma 3.1].

Proposition 3.1. We have

$$(3.21) I(k,\mathfrak{M},N) \ge 0.0295049P_3^2P_4^2L^k.$$

*Proof.* Note that  $N \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  and  $H(\alpha)^k e(-N\alpha) = \sum_{\substack{n \in \Xi(N,k) \\ n \equiv N \pmod{2}}} e(-n\alpha)$ .

Then we can deduce from Lemma 3.1 and Lemmas 3.4-3.5 that

$$I(k,\mathfrak{M},N) = \sum_{\substack{n \in \Xi(N,k) \\ n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}}} \int_{\mathfrak{M}} S_2(\alpha)^2 S_3(\alpha)^2 S_4(\alpha)^2 e(-n\alpha) d\alpha$$

$$= \sum_{n \in \Xi(N,k) \atop n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}} \left( \frac{1}{2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 4^2} \mathfrak{S}(n) \mathfrak{J}(n) + O\left(N^{\frac{7}{6}}L^{-1}\right) \right)$$

$$\geq \frac{3\pi - 180\eta}{2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 4^2} P_3^2 P_4^2 \sum_{n \in \Xi(N,k) \atop n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}} \mathfrak{S}(n) + O\left(N^{\frac{7}{6}}L^{-1} \sum_{n \in \Xi(N,k) \atop n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}} 1\right)$$

$$\geq 0.02950495 P_3^2 P_4^2 L^k + O\left(N^{\frac{7}{6}}L^{k-1}\right)$$

$$\geq 0.0295049 P_3^2 P_4^2 L^k,$$
where the trivial bound 
$$\sum_{n \in \Xi(N,k) \atop n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}} 1 \ll L^k \text{ is used.}$$

# 4. The upper bound for $|I(k, \mathfrak{m}, N)|$

In this section, we will give the upper bound for  $|I(k, \mathfrak{m}, N)|$ . For this purpose, we need to introduce a further division of the minor arcs  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Let

$$\mathcal{E}(u) = \{\alpha \in (0,1] : |H(\alpha)| \ge uL\}.$$

Then we have

$$I(k, \mathfrak{m}, N) = \int_{\mathfrak{m}} S_2(\alpha)^2 S_3(\alpha)^2 S_4(\alpha)^2 H(\alpha)^k e(-N\alpha) d\alpha$$

$$= \left( \int_{\mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathcal{E}(u)} + \int_{\mathfrak{m} \cap \mathcal{E}(u)} \right) S_2(\alpha)^2 S_3(\alpha)^2 S_4(\alpha)^2 H(\alpha)^k e(-N\alpha) d\alpha$$

$$= I(k, \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathcal{E}(u), N) + I(k, \mathfrak{m} \cap \mathcal{E}(u), N).$$
(4.2)

The first term in (4.2) will be evaluated by the following Lemma 4.1(i) while the second term will be evaluated by Lemma 4.1(ii) and Lemmas 4.5-4.6.

#### Lemma 4.1. We have

(i) 
$$\int_0^1 |S_2(\alpha)^2 S_3(\alpha)^2 S_4(\alpha)^2| d\alpha \le 0.58814 P_3^2 P_4^2,$$
 (ii) 
$$\int_0^1 |S_2(\alpha)^2 S_4(\alpha)^4| d\alpha \ll NL^c,$$

where c is an absolute constant.

#### Proposition 4.1. We have

$$(4.3) |I(k, \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathcal{E}(u), N)| \le 0.58814u^k P_3^2 P_4^2 L^k.$$

*Proof.* Note that  $|H(\alpha)| < uL$  for  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathcal{E}(u)$ . Then by Lemma 4.1(i), we have

$$|I(k,\mathfrak{m}\backslash\mathcal{E}(u),N)| \le (uL)^k \int_{\mathfrak{m}\backslash\mathcal{E}(u)} |S_2(\alpha)^2 S_3(\alpha)^2 S_4(\alpha)^2 |d\alpha|^2 d\alpha$$

$$\leq (uL)^k \int_0^1 |S_2(\alpha)^2 S_3(\alpha)^2 S_4(\alpha)^2 | d\alpha$$

$$\leq 0.58814 u^k P_3^2 P_4^2 L^k.$$

**Lemma 4.2.** For  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}$ , we have

$$(4.5) S_2(\alpha) \ll N^{\frac{7}{16} + \varepsilon}.$$

Proof. This is [9, Lemma 2.4].

**Lemma 4.3.** Define the multiplicative function  $w_k(q)$  by

$$w_k(p^{ku+v}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} kp^{-u-\frac{1}{2}}, & \textit{when } u \geq 0 \textit{ and } v = 1; \\ p^{-u-1}, & \textit{when } u \geq 0 \textit{ and } 2 \leq v \leq k \end{array} \right.$$

and let

$$\mathcal{L}(\gamma) = \sum_{\substack{q < P_4^{\frac{3}{4}} \\ (\alpha,q) = 1}} \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (\alpha,q) = 1}}^q \int_{|\alpha - \frac{a}{q}| \leq N} \frac{w_3^2(q) \left| \sum\limits_{\substack{P_4 \\ \frac{p}{2} \leq p \leq P_4}} e(p^4(\alpha + \gamma)) \log p \right|^2}{1 + P_3^3 |\alpha - \frac{a}{q}|} d\alpha.$$

Then we have uniformly for  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  that

$$\mathcal{L}(\gamma) \ll N^{-\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}$$
.

*Proof.* Write  $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \lambda$ . Then we have

$$\mathcal{L}(\gamma)$$

$$(4.6) \quad \leq \sum_{q \leq P_{3}^{\frac{3}{4}}} \int_{|\lambda| \leq N} \frac{w_{3}^{2}(q) \sum\limits_{1 \leq a \leq q} \left| \sum\limits_{\frac{P_{4}}{2} \leq p \leq P_{4}} e(p^{4}(\frac{a}{q}) + p^{4}(\lambda + \gamma)) \log p \right|^{2}}{1 + P_{3}^{3}|\lambda|} d\lambda.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\sum_{1 \leq a \leq q} \left| \sum_{\substack{P_4 \\ \frac{P_4}{2} \leq p \leq P_4}} e\left(p^4 \left(\frac{a}{q}\right) + p^4 (\lambda + \gamma)\right) \log p \right|^2 \\
= \sum_{\substack{P_4 \\ \frac{P_4}{2} \leq p_1, p_2 \leq P_4}} (\log p_1) (\log p_2) e((p_1^4 - p_2^4)(\lambda + \gamma)) \sum_{1 \leq a \leq q} e\left(\frac{(p_1^4 - p_2^4)a}{q}\right) \\
(4.7) \leq (\log N)^2 q \sum_{\substack{P_4 \leq p_1, p_2 \leq P_4 \\ p_1^4 = p_2^4 \pmod{q} \\ (p_1 p_2, q) = 1}} 1 + (\log N)^2 q \sum_{\substack{P_4 \leq p_1, p_2 \leq P_4 \\ p_1^4 = p_2^4 \pmod{q} \\ p_1 | q, p_2 | q}} 1.$$

Note that  $q \leq P_3^{\frac{3}{4}}$ . Thus

$$(4.8) \qquad (\log N)^2 q \sum_{\substack{\frac{P_4}{2} \le p_1, p_2 \le P_4 \\ p_1^4 = p_2^4 \pmod{q} \\ p_1|q, p_2|q}} 1 \ll (\log N)^2 q d(q)^2 \ll P_3^{\frac{3}{4} + \varepsilon}.$$

Moreover,

$$(4.9) q \sum_{\substack{\frac{P_4}{2} \leq p_1, p_2 \leq P_4 \\ p_1^4 \equiv p_2^4 \pmod{q} \\ (n_1 p_2 q) = 1}} 1 \ll \frac{P_4^2}{q} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n_1, n_2 < q \\ n_1^4 \equiv n_2^4 \pmod{q} \\ (n_1 n_2, q) = 1}} 1 \ll P_4^2 \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n < q \\ n^4 \equiv 1 \pmod{q}}} 1.$$

Write  $q = q_1^{r_1} q_2^{r_2} \cdots q_s^{r_s}$  (prime factorization). Then by [2, Theorem 122] and [4, p. 45], we can get

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \le n < q \\ n^4 \equiv 1 \pmod{q}}} 1 = \prod_{1 \le i \le s} \sum_{\substack{1 \le n < q_i^{r_i} \\ n^4 \equiv 1 \pmod{q_i^{r_i}}}} 1$$

$$\ll \prod_{1 \le i \le s} (4, \phi(q_i^{r_i})) \ll 4^s \ll d^3(q).$$

Now we can deduce from (4.6)-(4.10) that

$$\mathcal{L}(\gamma) \ll \sum_{q \leq P_3^{\frac{3}{4}}} w_3^2(q) \int_{|\lambda| \leq N} \frac{P_4^2 d^3(q) \log^2 N}{1 + |\lambda| P_3^3} d\lambda$$

$$\ll P_4^{2+\varepsilon} \sum_{q \leq P_3^{\frac{3}{4}}} w_3^2(q) d^3(q) \left( \int_{|\lambda| \leq \frac{1}{P_3^3}} 1 d\lambda + \int_{\frac{1}{P_3^3} \leq |\lambda| \leq N} \frac{1}{|\lambda| P_3^3} d\lambda \right)$$

$$(4.11) \qquad \ll P_4^{2+\varepsilon} P_3^{-3}(\log N) \sum_{q \leq P_3^{\frac{3}{4}}} w_3^2(q) d^3(q) \ll N^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon},$$

where we used [18, Lemma 2.1] in the last step.

## Lemma 4.4. Let

$$\mathcal{M}(q, a) = \left\{ \alpha : |q\alpha - a| \le P_3^{-\frac{9}{4}} \right\}$$

and let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the union of the intervals  $\mathcal{M}(q,a)$  for  $1 \leq a \leq q \leq P_3^{\frac{3}{4}}$ , (a,q) = 1. Suppose that  $G(\alpha)$  and  $h(\alpha)$  are integrable functions of period one. Then we have

$$(4.12) \qquad \int_{\mathfrak{m}} S_3(\alpha) G(\alpha) h(\alpha) d\alpha \ll P_3 \mathcal{J}_0^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \int_{\mathfrak{m}} |G(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathcal{J}^{\frac{1}{2}} + P_3^{\frac{7}{8} + \varepsilon} \mathcal{J},$$

where

$$(4.13) \qquad \mathcal{J} = \int_{\mathfrak{m}} |G(\alpha)h(\alpha)| d\alpha, \quad \mathcal{J}_0 = \sup_{\beta \in [0,1)} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{w_3^2(q)|h(\alpha+\beta)|^2}{(1+P_3^3|\alpha-\frac{a}{q}|)^2} d\alpha.$$

*Proof.* It follows from [18, Lemma 3.1] with k = 3.

Lemma 4.5. We have

(4.14) 
$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} |S_2(\alpha)|^2 S_3(\alpha)^3 S_4(\alpha)^2 |d\alpha| \ll N^{\frac{35}{24} + \varepsilon}.$$

*Proof.* Applying Lemma 4.4 with  $G(\alpha) = S_3(-\alpha)S_4(-\alpha) \left| S_2(\alpha)^2 S_3(\alpha) \right|$  and  $h(\alpha) = S_4(\alpha)$ , we have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} |S_2(\alpha)^2 S_3(\alpha)^3 S_4(\alpha)^2 | d\alpha = \int_{\mathfrak{m}} S_3(\alpha) G(\alpha) h(\alpha) d\alpha$$

$$\ll P_3 \mathcal{J}_0^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \int_{\mathfrak{m}} |G(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathcal{J}^{\frac{1}{2}} + P_3^{\frac{7}{8} + \varepsilon} \mathcal{J},$$

where

(4.16) 
$$\mathcal{J}_0 = \sup_{\beta \in [0,1)} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{w_3^2(q)|S_4(\alpha+\beta)|^2}{(1+P_3^3|\alpha-\frac{a}{q}|)^2} d\alpha$$

with  $\mathcal{M}$  given in Lemma 4.4 and

$$(4.17) \mathcal{J} = \int_{\mathfrak{m}} |G(\alpha)h(\alpha)| d\alpha = \int_{\mathfrak{m}} |S_2(\alpha)^2 S_3(\alpha)^2 S_4(\alpha)^2| d\alpha.$$

For  $\mathcal{J}_0$ , by Lemma 4.3, we have

(4.18)

$$\mathcal{J}_{0} \ll \sup_{\beta \in [0,1)} \sum_{\substack{q \leq P_{3}^{\frac{3}{4}} \\ \alpha = q = 1}} \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a,q)=1}}^{q} \int_{|\alpha - \frac{a}{q}| \leq \frac{1}{qP_{3}^{\frac{9}{4}}}} \frac{w_{3}^{2}(q) \left| \sum_{\substack{P_{4} \leq p \leq P_{4} \\ \frac{1}{2} \leq p \leq P_{4}}} e(p^{4}(\alpha + \beta)) \log p \right|^{2}}{(1 + P_{3}^{3}|\alpha - \frac{a}{q}|)^{2}} d\alpha$$

$$\ll \sup_{\beta \in [0,1)} \mathcal{L}(\beta) \ll N^{-\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}.$$

Applying Cauchy's inequality, Hua's inequality and Lemma 4.2, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} |G(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll \sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}} |S_2(\alpha)|^3 \left( \int_0^1 |S_3(\alpha)|^8 d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^1 |S_2(\alpha)|^2 |S_4(\alpha)|^4 d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (4.19) \qquad \ll N^{\frac{21}{16} + \frac{5}{6} + \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} \ll N^{\frac{127}{48} + \varepsilon},$$

where Lemma 4.1(ii) is used. For  $\mathcal{J}$ , it follows from Lemma 4.1(i) that

$$\mathcal{J} \ll \int_0^1 |S_2(\alpha)|^2 S_3(\alpha)^2 S_4(\alpha)^2 |d\alpha| \ll N^{\frac{7}{6} + \varepsilon}$$

Combining (4.15) and (4.18)-(4.20), we have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} |S_{2}(\alpha)^{2} S_{3}(\alpha)^{3} S_{4}(\alpha)^{2} |d\alpha \ll N^{\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{8} + \frac{127}{192} + \frac{7}{12} + \varepsilon} + N^{\frac{7}{24} + \frac{7}{6} + \varepsilon} \\
\ll N^{\frac{35}{24} + \varepsilon}.$$

**Lemma 4.6.** Let  $\mathcal{E}(u)$  be defined as (4.1). Write  $meas(\mathcal{E}(u))$  for the measure of the set  $\mathcal{E}(u)$ . Then we have

$$(4.22) meas(\mathcal{E}(0.833783)) \le N^{-\frac{2}{3}-10^{-10}}.$$

*Proof.* For any  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can deduce from [13, Section 7] that

(4.23) 
$$meas(\mathcal{E}(u)) \le e^{\frac{(\psi(\lambda) - \lambda u + \varepsilon) \log N}{\log 2}},$$

where  $\psi(\lambda)$  is defined in [13, Theorem 2]. Following the procedure of [13, Sections 4-6] with  $k=40, L=2^{30}, \lambda=1.1, \varepsilon=10^{-100}$ , we obtain

$$(4.24) \psi(1.1) \le 0.4550627.$$

Now combining (4.23)-(4.24), we have

$$meas(\mathcal{E}(0.833783)) \leq N^{\frac{\psi(1.1)-1.1\times0.833783+10^{-100}}{\log 2}} \leq N^{-0.666667}.$$

**Proposition 4.2.** Let u = 0.833783. Then we have

$$(4.25) |I(k, \mathfrak{m} \cap \mathcal{E}(u), N)| \ll N^{\frac{7}{6} - \varepsilon} \ll P_3^2 P_4^2 L^{k-1}.$$

Proof. By Hölder's inequality, Hua's inequality and Lemmas 4.5-4.6, we have

$$|I(k,\mathfrak{m}\cap\mathcal{E}(u),N)| \ll L^{k} \left( \int_{0}^{1} |S_{2}(\alpha)^{2}S_{4}(\alpha)^{4}| d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \left( \int_{0}^{1} |S_{2}^{4}(\alpha)| d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{12}}$$

$$\times \left( \int_{\mathfrak{m}} |S_{2}(\alpha)^{2}S_{3}(\alpha)^{3}S_{4}(\alpha)^{2}| d\alpha \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left( \int_{\mathcal{E}(0.833783)} 1 d\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{12}}$$

$$\ll N^{\frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{12} + \frac{35}{36} - \frac{1}{18} - 10^{-12} + \varepsilon} \ll N^{\frac{7}{6} - \varepsilon},$$

$$(4.26)$$

where Lemma 4.1(ii) and the trivial bound  $H(\alpha) \ll L$  are used. Now combining (4.2) and Propositions 4.1-4.2 with u=0.833783, we have

$$|I(k, \mathfrak{m}, N)| \leq |I(k, \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathcal{E}(u), N)| + |I(k, \mathfrak{m} \cap \mathcal{E}(u), N)|$$

$$\leq 0.58814u^{k} P_{3}^{2} P_{4}^{2} L^{k} + O(P_{3}^{2} P_{4}^{2} L^{k-1}).$$

#### 5. Proof of Theorem 1

On recalling notations defined in Section 2, we have

$$\mathcal{R}(k,N) = \int_0^1 S_2(\alpha)^2 S_3(\alpha)^2 S_4(\alpha)^2 H(\alpha)^k e(-N\alpha) d\alpha$$

$$= \left(\int_{\mathfrak{M}} + \int_{\mathfrak{m}}\right) S_2(\alpha)^2 S_3(\alpha)^2 S_4(\alpha)^2 H(\alpha)^k e(-N\alpha) d\alpha$$

$$\geq I(k,\mathfrak{M},N) - |I(k,\mathfrak{m},N)|.$$
(5.1)

When  $k \ge 17$  and u = 0.833783, we can deduce from (4.27) and Proposition 3.1 that

$$\mathcal{R}(k,N) \ge (0.0295049 - 0.58814 \times 0.833783^{17}) P_3^2 P_4^2 L^k + O(P_3^2 P_4^2 L^{k-1})$$

$$> 0.002 P_3^2 P_4^2 L^k.$$

Now the proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

**Acknowledgments.** We thank the referees for their time and comments. The author would like to express the most sincere gratitude to Professor Yingchun Cai for his valuable advice and constant encouragement.

#### References

- [1] P. X. Gallagher, Primes and powers of 2, Invent. Math. 29 (1975), no. 2, 125-142. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01390190
- [2] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, sixth edition, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008.
- [3] D. R. Heath-Brown and J.-C. Puchta, Integers represented as a sum of primes and powers of two, Asian J. Math. 6 (2002), no. 3, 535-565. https://doi.org/10.4310/ AJM.2002.v6.n3.a7
- [4] K. Ireland and M. Rosen, A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory, second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 84, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-2103-4
- [5] H. Li, The number of powers of 2 in a representation of large even integers by sums of such powers and of two primes, Acta Arith. 92 (2000), no. 3, 229-237. https://doi. org/10.4064/aa-92-3-229-237
- [6] H. Li, The number of powers of 2 in a representation of large even integers by sums of such powers and of two primes. II, Acta Arith. 96 (2001), no. 4, 369-379. https://doi.org/10.4064/aa96-4-7
- [7] Yu. V. Linnik, Prime numbers and powers of two, Trudy Nat. Inst. Steklov. Izdat. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow. 38 (1951), 152–169.
- [8] Yu. V. Linnik, Addition of prime numbers with powers of one and the same number, Mat. Sbornik N.S. 32(74) (1953), 3-60.
- [9] Z. Liu, Goldbach-Linnik type problems with unequal powers of primes, J. Number Theory 176 (2017), 439–448. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2016.12.009
- [10] J. Liu, M. Liu, and T. Wang, The number of powers of 2 in a representation of large even integers. II, Sci. China Ser. A 41 (1998), no. 12, 1255-1271. https://doi.org/10. 1007/BF02882266
- [11] Z. Liu and G. Lü, Density of two squares of primes and powers of 2, Int. J. Number Theory 7 (2011), no. 5, 1317–1329. https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793042111004605

- [12] X. Lü, On unequal powers of primes and powers of 2, Ramanujan J. 50 (2019), no. 1, 111-121. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11139-018-0128-2
- [13] J. Pintz and I. Z. Ruzsa, On Linnik's approximation to Goldbach's problem. I, Acta Arith. 109 (2003), no. 2, 169-194. https://doi.org/10.4064/aa109-2-6
- [14] J. Pintz and I. Z. Ruzsa, On Linnik's approximation to Goldbach's problem. II, Acta Math. Hungar. 161 (2020), no. 2, 569-582. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10474-020-01077-8
- [15] D. J. Platt and T. S. Trudgian, Linnik's approximation to Goldbach's conjecture, and other problems, J. Number Theory 153 (2015), 54-62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jnt.2015.01.008
- [16] R. C. Vaughan, The Hardy-Littlewood Method, second edition, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 125, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. https://doi.org/ 10.1017/CB09780511470929
- [17] T. Wang, On Linnik's almost Goldbach theorem, Sci. China Ser. A 42 (1999), no. 11, 1155-1172. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02875983
- [18] L. Zhao, On the Waring-Goldbach problem for fourth and sixth powers, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 108 (2014), no. 6, 1593-1622. https://doi.org/10.1112/plms/pdt072
- [19] X. D. Zhao, Goldbach-Linnik type problems on cubes of primes, Ramanujan J. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11139-020-00303-9

Li Zhu

SCHOOL OF STATISTICS AND MATHEMATICS SHANGHAI LIXIN UNIVERSITY OF ACCOUNTING AND FINANCE SHANGHAI 201209, P. R. CHINA

Email address: shulunzl@163.com